

226/17

N^o
42

ΠΑΡΑΛΛΗΛΑ ΣΥΝΑΓΩΓΗ,

O R,
The Loyal Subjects Indignation,
FOR HIS

Royal Sovereign's

DECOLLATION;

Expressed in an

Unparallel'd PARALLEL

Between the

Professed murtherer of K. SAUL,

and the

Horrid actual Murtherers of KING

CHARLES I.

The Substance whereof was delivered

In a

SERMON

Preached at

Allhallows Church in NORTHAMPTON,

On (the Day appointed)

ANNIVERSARY HUMILIATION

in reference to that execrable Fact) Jan. 30. 1660.

By SIMON FORD B. D. Minister there, and Chaplain to his

K MAJESTY.

London, Printed by J. H. for Samuel Gellibrand at the Golden Bill
in St. Pauls Church-yard. 1661.

109
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

Contents of this (42) Volume

- 1 2 Sam 6. 1. 1661. Simon Toib B. D. Jan^{ry} 30
- 2 Eccl 7. 10. 1680. Tho Long. DD. Ag^t Murrin &
- 3 Gal. 3. 1. — Wm Battie
- 4 Psalm 18. 50. 1683. Wm Sherlock DD. on Disco. of teleph
- 5 John 1 + 29. 1684 Den. Grenville DD. y^o comp. confirm^{ist}
- 6 Deut 32. 29. 1691. Geol Halley M. A. Cef^t pondem^{pro}
- 7 — 20 + 34. 1692. Wm Gallaway A. M.
- 8 1 John 5 + 4. 1694. In^o Battely. DD. Cef^t 2.
- 9 Job 5 + 2. 1695 J. Lamber. DD. Cef^t King
- 10 2 Tim 1. 13. 1697. H. de Luzancy B. D.
- 11 Psalm 112. 9. 10. 1697. H. Downes. M. A. Excel. of Pub. Chaity
- 12 1 Pet. 3. 16. 1700 Geo. Keith.
- 13 Luke 1. 6. — — — — 2 S.
- 14 Isaiah 55. 3. — In^o Haslewood. DD. Recantation
- 15 Jude v 13. 1701. Wm Sherlock foris ad Synodum
- 16 1 Cor 12. 26. 27. 1704. Gil. Burnet. Bp.
- 17 1 Pet 3. 13. 1706. Tho Knagg. M. A. Thanks
- 18 Acts 11 + 18. 1707 Rob Cannon. D. D. Cef^t Quon
- 19 1 Kings 1. 5. 1708 Jos. Lannell. M. A.
- 20 Matt 19. 20. 21. 1739 In^o Brownsword. A. B.

O.R.
The Royal & Noble

ROYAL GOVERNMENT

CHARLES

ST. R. M. O. M.




WATER

W. A. H. 21
Simon Lord & Co. Ltd. 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100



To the
RIGHT HONOURABLE
J O H N
Earl of *LAUDERDAIL*,
Viscount *Metellan*, Lord *Thirlestoun* and
Bolton, One of the Gentlemen of his Maje-
sties Bed-Chamber, Principal Secretary of
State in the Kingdom of Scotland, and One
of His Majesties most Honourable Privy
Council in both Kingdoms.

Right Honourable,

 Hen I had the Happiness to be first known to
your Lordship, during your Late Tyrannical
and tedious Imprisonment at Windsor Castle
(for which I still acknowledge myself obliged
to your own Condescension, inviting me
therunto) I remember your Lordship was pleased to ac-
quaint me, that some Books of mine formerly published,
had been part of the entertainment of your private hours, du-
ring the vacancy which that barbarous Persecution gave you
from Publick Business. And the remembrance hereof em-
boldneth me to presume, that your Lordships Library will af-
ford this Little Piece also a place among its Fellows: Nor

The Epistle Dedicatory.

am I altogether out of hope, that it may have the like favour of approbation at your Lordships hands, from the experience then given me of the value which your Lordship then assign'd me you put upon them: Especially, when I consider, that the subject matter of it is Loyalty; for which your Lordship then suffered so deeply under the heavy hands of the Late bloody Tyrant and Usurper; and for which I have been for several years persecuted by the Murderers of our Late Sovereign of Glorious Memory, for endeavouring to obstruct them in the quick possession of his vacant Seat, by both refusing to subscribe, and also bearing publick Testimony from the Pulpit against the Subscription of that accursed Engagement, imposed by them in order to a post-judication of that horrid Fact, the Extirpation of the Royal Posterity, and the Settlement of themselves in their Rights, by colour of a publick and National Consent.

And I assure you (my Lord) that the Consideration hereof (together with that little Contribution which (in my low capacity) I have (through Gods Goodness) lived to give towards the Restauration and Settlement of his present Majesty, my most Gracious Sovereign, and Royal Master, (whom the Divine Protection long preserve) is not the least of my Comforts, nor (I hope) shall be to my dying Day. Upon the comfortable experience wherof (as also upon the conviction of those Doctrines, which in this, and my former Parallel, I have published to the world) I am resolved as long as I live, (through Gods Grace) to seek the Peace and Welfare, and Support (to my capacity) the Crown and Dignity of my most righteous Sovereign; and bid a perfect defiance to all Persons and Principles whatsoever, that are given to change.

Now the Lord grant that the guilt of the Late Heinous Murder upon the Lords Anointed, may be wiped off from the Score of these Nations, that we be never visited with those

The Epistle Dedicatory.

those very evils, or worse, (for a just punishment thereof) to prevent which, the Contrivers and Executors of it, took so irregular and unlawful a course. I mean, that the violent revengefulness of some Spirits among us, may not re-produce such woful Tragedies, as God hath mercifully delivered us from once and again, within a few years last past, and perpetually honour his just and rightful Successor, our present Sovereign, with the most Noble and Glorious Title, of the Allayer of our mutual heats and animosities, the Moderator of all our Differences, and the Reconciler of us each to other (even whether we will or no) by the Interposition of his Royal Authority. Let ^{Quinta} be his perpetual Motto, and the inviolable Observation of all his Acts of Pardon and Oblivion, be his Memorial and Honourable Remembrance to all Generations; and may your Lordships Counsels be perpetually assistant to Him (as I doubt not but they will) in all things of that Tendency; which will not only preserve your Name in that Repute which you have hitherto maintained amongst all pious and sober persons, but render you a Counsellor in whom there will be safety to the Person and Throne of his Sacred Majesty, and make good the Character, which amongst other your Honours, have been given of your Lordships Wisdom and Temper, by

Right Honourable,

Your Lordships most Humble

and Affectionate Servant,

SIMON FORD.

1992

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

1990

1990

100

(continued)

1992



ΠΑΡΑΛΛΗΛΑ *Parallala*,

O R,

The Loyal Subjects Indignation,
FOR HIS

Royal Sovereign's
Decollation, &c.

2. SAM. 1-14

*And David said unto him, How wast thou not afraid to stretch
forth thine hand to destroy the Lords Anointed?*



This Chapter contains in it a relation of certain passages concerning the death of King Saul, (which whether true or false (seeing we must take them upon the credit of a fugitive souldier) can hardly be put out of question; though we, (as probably David, to whom it was made, did) will at present suppose them true;) and the carriage of David thereupon. The whole story whereof seems to be recorded

The Introduction to the Text
An Amalgams Narrative of the death of Saul.

The legall Subjects Indignation.

of purpose for the vindication of the holy man, from the unjust imputation of *defiling and polluting* to take away his *Sovereign life*, by the *murderers* which he had formerly *long* against him. And these particulars are likewise mentioned, as evidences of his innocence.

1. His *innocent grief* for that *lamentable death* which (by the returns *Gory*) he understood had befallen him, *v. 11, 12.*

2. His *long and painful* and *valiant* war the person who professed himself his *enemy* to have been, *v. 13, 14, 17.*

3. His *pious endeavour* to perpetuate the memory of his *deceased Sovereign* in a *memorable Duty* composed by himself, and appointed in succeeding Ages to be sung in a solemn manner by the *children of Judah*. To which purpose, he caused it to be recorded in a *book* kept (as it seems by *Josh. 10, 13.*) of purpose, to preserve the *memories* of *valiant men*, called the *book of Jashur*, or the *Sp-rites*; and gave it (in remembrance of the weapons of warre which (it appears by *1 Sam. 31. 3.*) were most fall in that barrel wherein *Saul* received his foyle and first wound) the title of *Kishub, psalm*, of which you have the particular account from *v. 1.*

My Text begins under the second of these mentioned particulars, and is the verse wherein *David* expresses his deep resentment of the related fact, with a just horror and indignation: *David said to him, How wast thou not afraid to stretch forth thy hand to destroy the Lords anointed?*

Which words, because they relate to a preceding Narrative, made by an *Annalist* (a merchant of *Saul*, as is likely, escaped out of that barrel before mentioned) concerning the manner of the *King's death*: We will take a little time before we come to a particular view of them, to examine the considerable passages in it, and circumstances relating to it.

And it is observable that the *valiant* expression, and relation, a *strange* mixture of the same result.

Managed with a strange

1. Confidence.

First, *Confidence*, in that he took the boldness to be the author of such a story concerning himself, and that in a *public* authority, which an ordinary person would have trembled to have been charged withall by another. And then which most sets off his confidence,



silence, is, that the person before whom he confessed himself guilty of poisoning *Jonathan*, was his immediate Successor, *David*, who by his death became actually King. Had he been never so slightly read in history, he might have learned, that succeeding Kings, (however, they may look on the news of their Predecessors' death as acceptable tidings, yet) seldom look favourably upon those who have been *Instrumental* in making the royal Seat void for them: or considering that the same persons who have been so *kind* to them, upon due inducements, may be easily tempted to do the *like* service for others, as occasion serves.

But (it seems) the *French* took his confidence upon *stev* probable Upon mistaken conjectures, in all of which (unverifiable) he was sorely mis- grounds

That *David*, being by Gods appointment and *Samuel's* medical, the next in succession to *Saul*, might by his *goodness* to grasp the *Serpent*, be tempted to make another judgement of the fall, then otherwise he would; and account his service *unrewarded*, who had helped him to it sooner than in the courts of nature it would have fallen. He made an ill conjecture (it appears) at the tempt of *David's* spirit, which was not so sharp for upon the dish of Royalty (however tempting in it self, and to vulgar appetites) but that he could stay till Gods providence in a regular way turned it to him. How much mind soever he had to the golden apple, which sets all the world at odds, *Dominion*, yet had he no mind to have the Tree on which it grew, *hewn*, to make it fall before the time.

That however *David* might be too mortified to bite at the bait of *Ambition*, yet secret *vengeance* might tickle him into a good humour, when he understood in what manner divine vengeance had overtaken his deadly enemy, and implacable performer. But *David* had learned, that a gracious soul is frequently the more endangered by being *near* to sinners. That, *justice* of perfection will be abused, *truth* will be used for guile to thrive in. That, be the benefit accruing by the fall of *unworthy* never so great, yet to rejoice at the destruction of him that loveth us when evil hath found him, is not only a vicious disposition in morality, but a sin of no ordinary size in Divinity, Job 35. 13. and especially, when the person so suffering is the Lords anointed; and so, the private advantage accruing thereby to any person, is too inconsiderable a compensation to be laid in balance against a public loss. And upon this account his

The loyal Subjects Indignation.

politics failed the *Relator* in his second presumption.

Just: That David had been in arms against Saul for divers years, and was at this time in a posture of defence against him in a frontier Town of an enemies Country. These considerations gave very great suspicion that he designed the death of Saul himself, and so was (at least intentionally) a partner in guilt with him who effected it. But the *Mistaken* either knew not or was willing not to know that David's arms were merely defensive, not offensive; that, as his motive for wearing them was extraordinary, and much different from other subjects in like cases, so his temper in the use of them was extraordinary also; and lastly, that he had more than once given evidence of no less, when both opportunity, and sensation from his most intimate friends, had put it to the utmost trial, 1 Sam. 24. 25. 26. And thus was he mistaken in his third conjecture, which bottomed his Confidence. And possibly, he himself might have some twinges and misatches of suspicion that he might be so; and what, in the midst of his boldness, makes him to manage his relation (in the second place) with much

2. Caution in his *Artifices* & *Rhetoric* for justification or extenuation of the *Fact*.

1. He relates only the death of Saul, Davids enemy.

v. 5.

Secondly, *Caution*: Which appears in several particulars observable in his carriage and *words*, whereby he seems of purpose to design the extenuation of this fact which he assumed the boldness thus to relate. As

1. That though he brought the tidings of both Sauls and Jonathan's death, yet he pretends not to have any such particular knowledge of Jonathan's death, as he had of Sauls, though David asked him concerning them both; lest David should by the circumstances of his own relation, have suspected him guilty of Jonathan's death also, as he confessed himself of Sauls. He knew that friendship which was between David and Jonathan, would have endangered him to a more severe scrutiny, then he hoped he should undergo for Sauls. At least, he was not so ready to insist on that part of the story, which he knew was the most *unwelcome*, and therefore chose to insist only on that which he hoped would be *better entertained*. He had indeed slain Davids enemy, and so could give the most perfect relation of his death: but could say little but from the voice of the people, concerning the death of his friends.

2. He justifies his *Fact*.

1. By Sauls request.

2. That though he confesseth he had an hand in the death of Saul, yet he was requested by himself to do it. He said unto him, stand upon me, I pray thee, and slay me, ver. 9. Now, *volenti non fit injuria*;

injuria; and how much lesse, *rogari*? No man is injured, but against his will; and therefore, it cannot (in any reason) come under the notion of *Injury*, to satisfie anothers *Request*, which hath in it a superlative degree of *Voluntariness*. Besides, he was his *Sovereign*, and so his *Requests* adopted the Authority of *Commands*. And if his *Sovereigns Command* might warrant him to take away the life of *another*, why might it not justify him rather in the case of his *own*? seeing what is a mans *own*, is more properly and directly in his power to dispose of, than what is *another*s. Add to this; that it was the *last Office* of Love and Service that he was capable of performing towards him; and that so *great*; that as he had cause to believe, that *Saul himself* thanked him for it in his dying thoughts, so his very *Ghost*, if it were present, could not but attest, that no man ever merited more highly from *Saul*, than he had done.

3. That there was *Reason* enough why *Saul* should account no less of this Service, for no man in his condition, but would have courted death, more *anxiously* than ever he did the dearest *Object* of his *Affections*: Wounded he was by his own hand, and as one that longed for death, he had digged for it into his own Breast, as for hid *Treasure*: But the *Channel* he had made for *Life* to run out at, was too narrow to give it a *speedy Vent*, which he so earnestly desired. And how could his eyes endure to see his *Beloved Sovereign* lie in that misery, wherein *Life* was his greatest *Burden*, and not ease him of it? Besides, Had there been any grounds to hope, he might recover of the wound he had given himself, no Subject he had, should have been more ready to have bound it up, and attempted the Cure, than himself. But sure he was, that long he could not live, V. 10. And to have protracted his *Life*, when there was only so much remaining in him, as served to augment the anguish of his death, had been the greatest Cruelty. So that, his condition considered, he could not but highly merit, by dispatching him quickly. Or if his *Charity* to him in that case might in any construction be interpreted *criminal*, yet the guilt could not be such, as to render him the *thousandth part* of a *Murderer*, seeing he could be no further chargeable with it, than that *pitiful fragment* of life amounted unto which he took away.

4. That had he not done him the *kindness* of releasing his weary soul, he had undoubtedly fallen into the hands of the *uncircum-*

By his dangerous condition;

Job 3. 21.

By the Philistines pursuit of him.
cised.

cised Philistines, which he professed to be his great fear, 1 Sam. 31. 4. And so his death died by the hands and Barbs of his Heathen Enemies: For, the Chariots and Horsemen followed hard after him, V. 6.

By his unpremeditated and providential coming to the place where he lay.

5. That he brought no design with him to the place, where he did that *Unhappy Act*: For he was by meer Providence, while he fled for his own life, cast upon that lamentable Object: He happened by chance upon *Adoniram Gibbea*, V. 6. and there he found *Saul* leaning upon his Spear: And this consideration will clear him from all preposed malice, which is essentially requisite to constitute a *Murderer*: So that in this case killing was no *Murder*. Besides, such was his haste, that he was in, to escape with his own life, that he had not the least time to deliberate upon any such course as might have saved *Saul*, or to debate with himself concerning the Lawfulness or Unlawfulness of the Fact, being hardly himself, through his own fear, and the suddenness of the surprise by so unexpected a Providence.

By his preserving and restoring the Royal spoils.

6. That whereas it might possibly be supposed, that some covetous desire of enriching himself with the *Royal Spoils*, tempted him to the Fact; to clear himself from any such suspicion, he had brought with him, and now tendered to his Lawful Successor, the *Crown that was upon his head*, and the *Bracelets that was upon his Arm*, V. 10. Which he took off after he was dead, to preserve them from worse hands.

By the moving habit which he made the Relation in.

7. And lastly, That it had been, and still was his *unfeignable grief*; that he was so unhappy, as to be any way drawn in to be instrumental in so sad a Business: That he had already spent more Tears for it, than he drew drops of blood in it; not because he thought himself in the least criminal, but because he had been so unfortunate, as to do that which looked like to great a Crime. This, though it be not recorded as the Language of his Lips, yet so much is recorded, 2. 2. concerning the Oath in which he presented himself to *David*, as may justify a conjecture, that he intended it as no small part of his vindication: He had rent his cloaths, to testify the rending of his heart, and covered himself with ashes, to shew how willingly he could have laid himself down in the dust for his dear Master, if by dying he could have redeemed his Life.

His entertainment from David.

Pleas as plausible, as to *Saul's* Fall could possibly admit of. After which, we may suppose him pausing a while, and travelling with

with expectation of some great reward from David, before whom he seemed to himself to have so well acquired himself, that he doubted not, but his Murder would advance it self to the reputation of Merit. But my Text tells you, how David disappointed his expectations, took down his confidence, and answered all his pleadings, by setting before him the atrociousness of his Fact, nakedly, and as it self considered in mitigation whereof, no Circumstances ought to be admitted to consideration: For, be all that thou hast said, true, (replies David) yet art thou not in the least excusable. For, *How wilt thou not be afraid* (upon whatever Motives, or with whatever imaginations) *to stretch forth thy hand to destroy the Lords Anointed?*

And thus have I led you through the Context to the Text, by Division of a Phrase somewhat odious (I confess) but yet very necessary, to the Text. the full understanding and profitable improvement of it, as you shall see hereafter. Mean while, may I please you, with me, to observe, in this Reply of David, these three Parts considerable,

1. *Quid*, or what he replies; That it was a fearful sin for him to stretch out his hand to destroy the Lords Anointed.
 2. *Quis*, or the Person that made it; David said.
 3. *Quomodo*, or the manner of his Reply, which is by way of vehement Interrogation: *How wilt thou not be afraid, &c.*
- First, The *Quid*, or Substance of his Reply, importing the Horridness of the sin of this *Amalekites*.

To which there is a twofold Evidence;

1. The Aggravations of the Crime here condemned, taken from

a. The Person that committed, but ought to have avoided it with holy Fear; Thou, under a twofold Capacity:

1. *Thou*, a *Private man*.

2. *Thou*, a *Subject*, by present relation (at least) to Saul, being his *Soldier*.

2. The Person on whom it was committed, and who ought to have been otherwise dealt withal, considered in a double Capacity.

1. Of his Civil Quality; He was *Unlame*, a Person who had received Royal Unction, and was thereby separated from the Vulgar, or common sort of men, a King solemnly;

The loyal Subjects Indignation,

lemly inaugurated, *The Annointed.*

2. Of his *Sacred Relation*, and so he was *Messiah Jehovah*, the *Lords Annointed*, Gods Deputy and Vicegerent by special Commission.
3. The *Fact is self*, which was,
 1. In its *Nature*, bloody, *he destroyed him*.
 2. In its *Principle*, voluntary, *he stretched forth his hand to do it*, which imports a purpose and resolution of heart to do it.
 3. In its *Manner*, it was done *deftly*, without fear; He *was not afraid* to do that *Act* which he should have trembled at.

II. The *Invalidity* of all his former *Pleas*, or whatever might be urged in his defence; gathered from the connexion of the Verse with the preceding Relation; *David* takes no notice of any of his *Pleas* at all, which imports thus much [although all this, and much more might be truly said in his defence, yet it was nevertheless horrid to *David*, nor ought to have been to him.]

Secondly, *Quis*, or the Person replying, *David said*; who comes under a *twofold* Consideration also.

I. According to *what* he truly and indeed *was*.

1. As a *man*; one truly *pious*, and incomparably *tender-conscienced*, [*David* (the Saint) *said*]
2. As a *King*; For *Sauls death* actually possessed him of the *Throne*, though he were not possessed of the *Kingdom*: [*David* (the King) *said*.]

II. According to the *mistaken Idea* or *Notion* under which the *Malfactor* represented him to himself in the grounds of his forementioned *Confidence*; and so he comes under a *threofold* view:

1. As a *Person* mortally persecuted by *Saul*; who never left *hunting him as a partridge upon the Mountains*, till he had hunted him into a *Forreign Countrey*, where now he was.
2. As a *Person* formerly in *Arms* against him; and yet standing upon his guard, in *Ziglag*, the place of his present *Retreat*.
3. As the *Person* who had *long* (by divine Warrant) expected the *devolution* of *Sauls Crown* upon himself.

Thirdly,

for his Royal Sovereigns Devotion.

9

Thirdly, *Quomodo*, or the manner of his Reply; which imports an unusual heat and eagerness, a strange concitation of passions. For it is by way of vehement Interrogation, aculeated with abundance of quick *Tariness*.

It is not barely *Affertio decentis*, an affirmation of his Duty, for then he needed to have exprest it only thus; [*Thou oughtest so have been afraid.*]

Nor barely, *Querela dolentis*, an expression of his grief for the sad accident: For then he had not needed to have languaged it otherwise than thus; [*Alas! What a fearful thing was it for thee thus to stretch forth thy hand, &c.*]

Nor barely, *Questio discens*, an enquiry concerning the temper (or *dis*temper rather) wherein he did it, which might have been sufficiently expressed thus; [*Wast thou not afraid, &c.*]

But it is *Interrogatio exprobrantis*, a smart interrogation, exprobrating to him the audacious impioufness of the Fact. [*How wast thou not afraid, &c.*] Which expresth all the rest, and more too. In a word, it speaks three Passions, which David felt upon the sad Relation.

1. Grief; For so do we find that Passion venting it self by a doleful Interrogation, Lam. 4.1. & Isa. 1.21. &c.
2. Wonder and Astonishment; that a Person so abject and contemptible, should arrive at the confidence to direct a steady blow at the heart of his Sovereign. And such [*How's*] do we also find in Scripture: See Isa. 14.12. Prov. 30.13. &c.
3. Horrow and Abomination of the monstrous impiety, as well as daringfness of the *Act*. And we are not without Examples of the Expression of this passion in a like Form. See Gen. 39.9. Hest. 8.6. &c.

And thus, having crumbled my Text to pieces, for the fuller And handled. Explication of it, by the due weighing of every Word contained in it, it will be needful to look them over severally.

1. As they relate to the Chapter and Narrative contained in it.

2. As they may be accommodated by way of parallel to the sad occasion of this daies Solemnity.

And First, As they relate to the Chapter and its Narrative, it First view of will be useful to consider the three Parts of the Text distinctly, the Text.

229

C

first,

The loyal Subjects Indignation.

first, by way of Doctrine, the second and third by way of Inference or Application.

Part I.

Quid.

Of the baseness of this Fact of the Amalekites in killing Saul, and (under that) of King-killing in general. Evidenced ;

First, By the aggravating Circumstances. Taken From the Person committing the Fact.

1. First then, The *Quid* or Doctrine which is by David in the Text in ended, to be amplified to the utmost, is, the horrid wickedness of this particular Fact of the Amalekites killing of King Saul (in Hypothesis) and thence (in Thesis) or generally, the baseness of the sin of murdering Kings, under whatever diminutive considerations it may be presented.

Now this Intention, David in the Text doth amply make good, (as before was intimated.)

1. By so emphatical a Collection of the Circumstances of the Crime confessed, which the distribution of the Text enumerates.

2. By the rejecting of all those Pleas (as invalid) which either were expressed, or might be implied, in the particulars of his defence before mentioned.

1. The aggravating Circumstances of the Fact, in the Text, are,

1. The Considerations under which the Murderer came, (viz.) of a private person, and one who (of a Foreigner, an Amalekite, whose life Saul had spared, contrary to the express Command of God, 1 Sam. 15.) was admitted into the condition of a Subject and Soldier under him ; and (if the conjecture of some, that this Amalekite was Dags Son, be true) had received a peculiar Obligation from him, in the advancement bestowed upon his Father.

But (to omit his Obligations, which indeed render the Fact monstrously ingrateful, could they be proved ; but being only received from Jewish Tradition, and not asserted in the Text, I shall make no further use of them) in that he was (confessedly) a private person, and a Subject, it was evidence sufficient of the horridness of the Fact, that he did it. For, for a private man to execute a power of Life and death, was an high presumption, and would amount to no less than Murder, if acted upon the Meant and most Criminal Person in the world : But when to the Privacy of the Condition, is added Subjection also, this adds a far greater aggravation. Had the Amalekite slain his equal, he had been a Murderer ; but the slaying his Superior, to whom he was lawfully subject, rendered him a Parricide. They that justify the power of Subjects over the Lives of their Lawful Superiors, had need find out

out

out a *Logic*, that can verifie *Contradictions*; for such must that Doctrine necessarily maintain, that renders the same Persons *ad invicem*, towards each other; and at once, *Sovereign* and *Subject*; the *Subject* his own *Prince's Sovereign*, and the *Sovereign* his own *Subject's Vassal*. So that the sin of *King-killing*, acted by the hands of *Subjects*, upon this bare account, amounts to no lesse than an *Usurpation of a Jurisdiction* inconsistent with all Principles or right Reason, and all Lawes, both of God and man, and renders the Person that is guilty of it, superlatively criminal, as one who forfeits his *Soul* to God, and his *Life* to man, by the guilt of the highest *Premunire* that can be incurred. This is the first *emphatical aggravation* of the Fact, from the Person committing it. Let *Saul* deserve to die never so justly, yet what Commission had the *Amalekite*, a *private Person*, and his *Subject*, to kil him? And so *David* tels him:

————— *At tu indignus quid sacres tamen?*

[How wast not [Thou] afraid?]

II. The Fact is yet rendered more *hainous*, by the *Second Emphatical aggravation* in the Text, taken from the Person slain, *Saul*, From the Person murdered, who was, under a *double* Consideration:

He was $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1. \text{Unctus.} \\ 2. \text{Unctus Domini.} \end{array} \right.$

I. He was *Unctus*, (in his *Civil Quality*) He was a Person solemnly separated from other men, an *Anointed King*. *Anointing* (or whatever Ceremony of Investiture is, (by the Customs of Particular Nations) equivalent thereunto) puts a Note of highest difference between the Persons dignified therewith, and others. *Three* high and honourable *Functions* in the Scripture were conferred by this Ceremony of *Anointing*, and all of them fenced and privileged from Injuries by virtue of that *Holy Oyle*; the *Priestly*, *Prophetical* and *Royal* Offices. Nor to instance in the *two former*, (upon which (whatever these confused times have suggested to the contrary) it were easie to prove, that God hath written a *Nolite tangere*, a Priviledge from common handling) to be sure the *Last*, (the *Royal*) is so highly secured by the holy Scriptures, that they exact from *Subjects* such a special Awe and Reverence towards *Kings*, as not only binds the *Hand* and *Tongue*, Anointed.

The loyal Subjects Indignation,

but the very *Heart* also, to the *good behaviour*: Whence in the case of this very *Saul*, when he was once anointed *King*, the Holy Ghost puts the Brand of *Sons of Belial* upon all those who despised or spake contemptuously of him, 1 Sam. 10.27. And Solomon strictly forbids us to *curse*, or with evil to the *King*, even in our very thoughts, Eccles. 10.20. So that this Consideration was very effectually conducing to the aggravation of the *Amalekites* in the *Text*, and (in him) of every *King-killers* offence: For the consequence is a *minori ad majus*, undeniable. If the *lesser* injury may not be done to *Kings*, the *greater* may not. If our *Tongues*, nay *Thoughts* are not to injure *them*, much less our *Hands*.

And the Lords
Anointed.

II. To strengthen this consideration further, *David* calls him not only *Unctum*, [*Anointed*,] but *Unctum Domini*, [*the Lords Anointed*] Which Title peculiarly relates him to God as his Vicegerent and Lieutenant, and enhanceth the sin of *King-killing* to the guilt of *High Treason against God* himself. That the Title of the *Lords Anointed*, is attributed to other *Kings* besides *Saul*, as to all the *Jewish Kings*, yea and *Heathen Kings* also (instance *Cyrus*, Isa. 45.1) argues that the same security belongs to all other *Kings*, as being no less related to God, and commissioned under him: That *Supreme Authority* resides originally in the *Body* of the *People* as the *Fountain* of all just power, and is delegated to *Kings* from them, as their servants intrusted by them, and for male-administration accountable to them, is a Doctrine that savours so much of a spirit quite alien from the Scriptures, that I shall almost as soon turn *Mahometan*, as believe it. Surely in that the Holy Ghost calls them *Gods*, he cannot be thought to assign them an *humane Original*, without allowing the *Creature* a power (which he every where denies him) of conferring a *Divinity* upon the work of his hands. *Man*, whilst he attempts to make a *God*, doth no other, than the *Child*, that attempts with a *Bundle of Clouts*, to make a *Babe*. They that say to the works of their hands, ye are gods, Hos. 14. 3. are no other, than blasphemous *Idolaters*; and the gods they make, are *Elihim*, vain Idols, not *Elohim*, true Gods, Jer. 16.20. Psal. 96.5. Yea, it is a strong Scripture-consequence against the *Divinity* of such *Puppet-gods*; [*The workman made it, therefore it is not God*, Hos. 8.6.] Of like nature is the *Presumption* of those men who undertake to make and unmake *Kings*, at their own pleasure; and that so great, that (in reference to this very claim) the

Not the Peo-
ples.

2f. 82.6.

Great

Great Antichrist is justly charged with lifting himself up above all that is called God, 2 Thel. 2. 4. And to set up Kings without God, (Kings of humane Constitution, contrary to Gods appointed Order of Succession) when it was acted by the Ten Tribes, in the case of *Jeroboam*, and the succeeding Kings of *Israel*, is yoked (as a parallel piece of presumptuous Impiety) in one and the same verse, with making Idols of Silver and Gold, Hof. 8. 4. Indeed a God and a King of mans making, are both alike, Idols both; and they that make them, are like unto them. It is He alone that hath it to give, who confers the least spark of Divinity, Political, as well as Natural: and he that saies to a man of his own setting up, *Thou art Gods Deputy*, first, robs the True God of that power, and then bestows it upon a Counterfeit. And although the Constitutions of some Nations, where Popular Election disposeth of the Crown, may seem to invalidate this Doctrine, I must tell you, that (besides that *ab initio non fuit ita*, the Original of all Governments was from Paternal Jurisdiction, and next that hereditary Succession (both appointed by God) and all Forms varying from those, are too novel to prescribe against an ancienter Constitution) even there where Election (according to Law and Custom) designes the Person, Gods Ordination confers the Power, that he is invested withall; for there is no power but from God, Rom. 13. 1. In such Nations, the Kings may be Electi Plebis, the Peoples Elect; but when once regularly chosen, they become *Domini*, the Lords Anointed. And with this distinction, (though it may possibly be, orthodox enough to interpret St. Peters *disponitur electis*, (Pet. 2. 13.) of a person according to the Laws and Customs of a Nation elected, or acknowledged for King, that thereby he becomes (so far) an Ordinance or Creature of man: yet) when this Creature of man is so far made, as mans Votes or Laws or Consents can make him, he receiveth (over and above this) by a Divine *Dixi*, a word of Authority, to make him the Object of conscientious Obedience, which the same Apostle intimates in the next words, *Submit your selves to every Ordinance of man for the Lords sake*, i. e. as considering the relation he hath to God. The Body of Authority is made by Laws and Customs of Nations, but the Soul of it is infused by God. *Prometheus* may make the Image of a man of Clay, but it is Fire from Heaven that must animate it, or it is but an Image still: So till the Word of God!

Kings (even where elected by the People) are nevertheless,

comes to men, (as our Saviour phraseth it, *John 10. 35.*) and say *Gods Anointed. Ye are Gods*, they are but (as *Samson* bereft of his Locks) like other men: But when once *Gods dixi*, is past, then, and then only, this *dispositive* alters this Ordinance of man, becomes *Natya* *Qre*, the Constitution and Ordination of God, *Rom. 13. 2.*

It is true indeed, that (for the ascertaining of mens Consciences in the Object of their Civil worship) *Gods dixi*, alwaies concurs with the just and lawful Issue of Humane Constitutions and Customs, so that God hath given us this Infallible Note, to discern who is *Unflau Domini*, his Viceregent and Deputy, that he comes to govern according to the Laws of the Kingdom. But yet you may not ascribe the Authority he hath, to the Laws which assert his Right, but to God, whose Constitution enables those Laws to determine in so weighty a matter.

And therefore
not subject to
their Jurisdic-
tion when
once admitted.

And hence, it will not follow, that the People consent (which made those Laws, upon the determination of which the divine Constitution is necessarily attendane) renders the Person invested with Authority according to those Laws, at all, much less altogether, dependant upon the People for that Authority; and that therefore in case of male-administration, he may be unmade by them who made him, more than it will follow, that because (according to the standing Law of Nature) the rational Soul is necessarily annexed to the Substance of the Body, in the instant of Generation; therefore we owe our Spirits to our Parents, as well as our Bodies, or that (upon that Supposition) the Natural Parents may disunite the Soul of his Child from the Body at his pleasure, which he is supposed to have by generation conferred upon it. But rather (as in Nature and Reason the Soul of man, however necessarily tied to the order of Nature in generation, is yet *Qre* *Qre*, *Gods Off-spring*, *Acts 17.* Not the Parents, and therefore may not be dislodged out of the Body without a Write of Revocation, a *Redire Fili hominis* from the Father of Spirits, for no mortal hath power over the Spirit, but only God that gave it, *Ecclesi. 8. 8.* *12. 7.* So in the true and genuine reason of State Government, (which is to be fetched from the Scriptures, not mens Politicks) Authority, which is *Natya* *Qre*, the Ordinance of God, (whatever necessary Connexion, by divine appointment, it have to humane Laws and Customs) cannot justly be separated from the Person, whom those Laws and Customs have invested with it, without an *Alt*

Psal. 90. 3.
Heb. 11. 9.

of divine resurrection. He that saith to Princes, *You are Gods*, makes them (in a Political sense) what he saies they are, *Civil Deities*, and such they must continue, till by a like *dixi* or word of *Repeal*, he unmake them again. And the resolution which Conscience must expect, to determine herein also, must be fetched from the Laws Umpirage in all Nations.

Now from this *Dixi* of God, this Divine Constitution it is, that the *Persons of Kings* have in all places and ages been accounted *Sacred*. This *unction from the Holy One*, (give me leave so to call it in allusion to that of St. John, though by him used in another sense, 1 John 2. 20.) secures them from the gripes of rude hands, with a *Nolite tangere*. For so (though that Text directly relates to Gods wonderful preservation of the three Patriarchs, *Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob*, and that under the Notion of Gods *Prophets*, under which Relation God expressly cautions *Abimelech*, Gen. 20. 7.) And that Passage, it is more than probable, the Psalmist had in his eye, when he penned it) the Argument which God useth, *Psal. 105. 15.* for the Priviledge he gave them, that they were *uncti Domini*, will necessarily extend the security there claimed for them, to all who are partakers of a like unction: [*Touch me* saith he, *mine Anointed*] by that known Logical Maxim, *A quoniam ad de omni valet Argumentum*. A consideration, which so far prevailed with Holy David, that his *bow smote him*, in the Cave, for only cutting off the Skirt of King Sauls Garment, as if the *unction* of the Divine unction by descending (as it is said of *Aarons*, *Psal. 133. 2.*) to the Skirt of his Garment, had communicated its Tincture so far to the Royal Robe, as to mind him by the Sense it left upon his very fingers ends, that he had made too bold with the *Lords Anointed*, 1 Sam. 24. 5. And therefore, no wonder, if he charge it so home upon this *Amalekite*, who had with a *murderous touch* assaulted that Body that wore it.

Obj. True, (will the *Anti-monarchist* say) whilst Princes keep to the *Rulerby* which they are to govern, but if they *transgress* them, do they not thereby *forfeit their Priviledge*, and become as other men; yea, far worse, by being *Tyrants*: Is it not lawful to kill a *Tyrant*, that preys upon the Lives and estates of his Subjects, like a wild Beast his prey, and makes use of Gods *Authority* committed to him, to secure himself in such *incalculable Abuses*?

But Sacred & secured by Divine Ordination

And that although abusing their Power against the Laws, and turning Tyrants.

which is,

Sol. To which I answer

I. Was

Proved by several Arguments,

1. Was not this very *Saul* as properly a *Tyrant* in this kind, as any recorded in Scripture or History? Was he empowered by the Law of God (by which he was to govern, *Deut.* 17. 14, 15. &c. to the end) to persecute an innocent *David*, as he did? or to attempt the murder of his own Son *Jonathan*, as he did? *1 Sam.* 20. 33. Or, was he empowered to destroy a whole City of Priests, for the supposed offence of one *Abimelech*, as he did? *1 Sam.* 22. 18. Was he empowered by that Law to do many other irregular Acts, which *Samuel* reckons up by way of Prophecy concerning him, when he made him King? *1 Sam.* 8. 11, 12. And yet was he still *Unctus Domini*, under the Protection of his Divine Unction, and not to be touched with a violent hand.

2. There is a twofold *Tyrant*, *Tyrannus Titulo*, & *Tyrannus exercitio*, a *Tyrant* for want of a just Title to govern, and a *Tyrant* by unjust Acts in his Government, though his Title to Government be never so just. He that is *Tyrannus Titulo*, and hath no Title to govern, an *Usurper*, hath no *modus tangere*, no divine Protection from his Unction, no touch not mine Anointed, to secure him.

And (although it be not (in my judgment) a safe Principle, which permits every private Person to destroy such a *Tyrant*, (seeing hereby private men are made competent Judges of Sovereign Rights, and the most Lawful Prince cannot be safe, if that be allowed) yet) no question, the Collective Body of a Nation, headed by an *Usurper* against the general sense of those who have the ordinary power of interpreting and executing Laws, may (upon their call) rise up against, dispossess, and bring him to condigne punishment to make way for the Lawful Prince unjustly excluded.

But where a Prince lawfully intitled to his Government, is yet *Tyrannus exercitio*, abuseth that Government, to unjust and illegal Barbarities, (though herein he offend as highly against God, as it is not easily imaginable, how any one can more, because he defaceth (the most glorious Image of God) himself; and reflects the partnership of his guilt upon God, whom he represents, as authorizing him to such enormities, which the power received from him (only) capacitateth him to act: yet) is he still, because of the Anointing, a privileged person, not to be violated by thy hands, or mine, whatever evils we suffer under him, and what capacity

capacity soever we may be in, to revenge them. I will evidence this to you by a familiar Instance: *Adulterated Cohn*, that bears the *Princes Image*, without the warrant of his own *Mint*, to attest it, any man may refuse to receive in payment, and knock to pieces, or nayl to a Post, because it is adulterate. But good and lawful *Cohn* stamped by just Authority, how much soever it may be battered or defaced, whilst any Print remains of the *Royal Inscription* to declare it such, may challenge a free passage, and may not be refused, or wilfully abused. So (whenever becomes of *Tyrants by Title*, who bear Gods superscription only by adulteration, and are meer *By-blows* of Supremacy) those that are not so, (however *Tyrannous* actions may blemish and deface them, yet) because they are the Legitimate Issue of a Divine Commission, and so truly *Filii Excellsi*, the *Sons of the most High*, having the true stamp of his Image upon them, must be still owned as such, and respected according to their Authority.

3. But be it supposed, that (notwithstanding all that is said) such a *Forfeiture* may be made, as the Objectors pretend; I would fain know, who shall be *Judge*, when, and by what Acts this *Forfeit* is incurred: Shall the *Prince* himself? I doubt they will think that unreasonable, because in this case he is a *meer Party*, and so will undergo the suspicion of Partiality to himself, from a Principle of Self-Love.

Who must then? The *Collective body of the Subjects*? And do not the Objectors see that the same reason excludes them? Will not the Principle of Self-Love, and Self-revenge be as apt to corrupt them, in taking cognisance of the Injuries they feel? But there is an higher exception against them yet; and that is the offending *Israelites* Question to *Moses*, *Who made thee a Judge?* Exod.2.14. By what Law either Divine or humane, (was the Question of our Late martyred Sovereign) can the Subject be impowered to sit in Judgment upon his King? And indeed, as there is no such Law, so is it most unreasonable there should be: For, grant the Subjects such a power, and considering the prevalency of prejudices against Governours, and the frequency of successful attempts of ill-meaning persons, to spread those prejudices, together with the incapacity of the People to know and judge of the true reason of State-Actions, &c.) and tell me, whether it would ever (in likelihood be the felicity of any King (how just soever) without a

The layall Subjects Indignation.

miracle, to escape being deposed or murdered, at one time or other by his subjects. The Complices and Partners in guilt of every mal-faction whom he hath put to death, though never so justly, would before a Popular Judicatory arraign him for *Murther*; the persons concerned in *confiscated Estates*, would Indite him for *theft* and *robbery*; the *Fanatick* punished by his Laws, for never so horrid *Blasphemies*, and pretended *consciencious Conspiracies*, &c. would prosecute him for a *Perssecutor*: insomuch that the only way for a King to be secure in such a Kingdom, were to *let all justice go as free, and soverain*, and then he would be obnoxious to the displeasure of none but (those, who though by this dissolution of Government they would be the deepest Sufferers, yet by their conscientious Principles are remotest from all likelihood of revenging themselves upon their Sovereign) *the truly Godly*. Nay (I will speak a big word for once) it would be hard for the most righteous *Governour of the whole world*, to carry himself so inoffensively towards his Subjects, but that the *major part* of them, were his actions to be scanned by their Judgement, would think it fit to Vote the reins out of his hand for male-administration.

And if neither *Prince* nor *subject* in general be fit to determine in this Controversie, who shall then? Will they say, the *Representatives of the People*? If so, in *what capacity* shall they undertake it? Not of *Inferiours* surely, or *Equals*; for *par in parem* (much less *inferior in superiorem*) *non habet imperium*. Of *Superiours*? From whence shall they derive that power? If from *Law*, shew that Law? If from *popular election*, then their power can be no other then before was in the *body collective* by whom they are chosen; so that except the people be superiour to their Sovereigns (which hath before been disproved,) they cannot delegate that superiority to others, which they never had themselves.

And now there remains only *one shift* imaginable for the judging this case: and that is recourse to a *Foreign power*, which indeed is so farre from amending the matter, that it makes it farre worse. For (besides that *Sovereign Princes* are *equals in Authority*, (though not in *Dominions* it may be) and so the former maxim recurs, *Par in parem non habet imperium*, and that none is likely (because of the *precedent*) to be courted to such an Employment, (except the *Pope*, who challengeth a Supremacy over Princes as Christs universal Vicar, and whose claims in this kind have been over and

over refused :) how shall a *Foreign Prince* be enabled to exercise this *Jurisdiction*, but by the success of a *Warre*? And for subjects to call in a *Foreign force* to arbitrate the differences between them and their *Sovereign*, what can it amount unto but the highest of *traiterous Conspiracies*?

4. (and lastly) Suppose the case were unquestionable, and the forfeiture made and justly so judged, yet, to whom doth the seizure upon this forfeited Authority belong? Not to man (certainly) for it hath been before proved that all Authority is Gods Ordinance, a Flower of his Prerogative, a Jewel of his Crown, and so can fall (upon forfeiture) only into his hands who derived it. So that it will clearly follow; that if God himself (for the chastisement of a sinfull people, or for other holy ends of his own) shall think fit, for a while, not to enter upon the forfeiture, it will be high presumption in any men to precipitate the judgement of God; and enter before his time (though in his Name and right) upon his peculiar. Hence the Saints of God in former and latter times, have suffered under the bloodiest Tyrants with admirable patience, as under Gods scourges, and referred their cases to him who judgeth uprightly: and even in their complaints to him, have expressed so much Christian temper and moderation, that they have rather praised for the conversion and pardon of their persecutors, than wished vengeance upon them. And when they have foreseen the vengeance coming, have not desired the wofull day, Jer. 17. 16: but deprecated it rather: However (to be sure) they never put forth their hands to the iniquity of self-reparation, but patiently expected the coming of the Lord, Ja. 5. 6, 7. And upon this ground David checks the forwardnesse of Abishai, (who offered him the service of (smiting Saul dead) Destroy him not, for either the Lord shall smite him (with a disease) or his day (in the course of nature) shall come to die; or he shall descend into the haven and perish: but the Lord forbid that I should stretch forth my hand against the Lords Anointed, 1 Sam. 26. 8, 9, 10. And yet (which makes this Answer more considerable) the Crown of Saul was not only actually forfeited, but adjudged so by God himself, and the reversion of it bestowed upon David, 1 Sam. 15. 28. Notwithstanding all which, you see, the holy man will not be persuaded to make a forcible entry, but waits till God by his providence devolves that upon him which he had demised by promise.

Obj. It will be farther objected, that this priviledge belonged in- Obj.

The loyal Subjects Indignation,

This priviledg
belongs not to
Jewish Kings
only, but all
other Kings.

Sol.

deed to *Jewish Kings*, but it may be doubted, whether the *Gospel* (introducing a *State of Liberty*, beyond that which the *Jewes* enjoyed,) the same immunity belong to *Princes* since the coming of *Christ*?

Sol. To which I answer again,

1. That *Gospel liberty* dissolves not Natural or Civil duties: and those that think it does, make it a cloak of maliciousness, 1 Pet. 2. 13, 16.

2. That the immunity of *Jewish Kings* belonged not to them as such, but as *Gods Anointed*, as *deputed Gods* under the most high. And the *Gospel* owns the *Supream power*, even in the hands of persecuting *Heathens* as an *Ordinance of God*, Rom. 13. 2.

3. And it is evident that the *ancient Christians* thought so, who after they had adventured their lives in the field for persecuting *Emperours*, as *Souldiers*, laid them down for their Religion, in obedience to their commands (though unjust and barbarous) as *Martyrs*.

3.

And thus have I dispatched the *second consideration*, (that of the person slain) made use of in the *Text* for the *second Aggravation* of the sin of *King-killing*: that *Saul* slain was not only *Unctus*, an anointed King, by his civil *Quality*, but *Unctus Domini*, one who was *Gods Vicegerent*, by sacred *Relation*. And (by consequence) am now at liberty to insist a while on the *third Aggravation* taken from the *fact* it self, as the *Text* shewes it. And that is represented notoriously foul by *three things*.

From the Na-
ture of the
Fact, as
Bloody.

1. That it was in its nature bloody. He destroyed the *Lords* anointed. It was not a murder intended only, nor a murder barely attempted without successe, but an *actual murder*. And yet, had he not effected it, the very attempt (considering the quality of the Person) had been so hainous a crime, that the *Laws of Nature* and *Nations* would have punished it with death. But here the guilt is infinitely aggravated by the execution of that which had been so highly criminal but to attempt. For a *King*, (however attempted against) whiles he is but in being, fills the *Royal Seat*, and Heads the *Commonwealth*, and animates all *Courts of Justice* by the Authority of his Name: yea, laies some restraint upon the most lawlesse and dissolute persons, on the account of a possibility of being called to account for their outrages and enormities. But the actual taking away of a *Kings life*, exposeth the empty Throne to the

next

next potent Usurper, silenceth the Laws, annulleth all depuited Powers, by the expiration of their Commissions, renders every man (in a sort) his own Master, and sets up (for the Time) as many Lords of misrule in a Nation, as there are evil-disposed persons in it. And although these evils are not equally felt in *hereditary Kingdoms*, as in *others*, (because in such the King never dies) yet, they are all equally chargeable upon all Regicides; seeing, that they do not all actually ensue, is no thank to *them*, but to the *publique constitution* rather; and the Fact in its own nature being every where of like pernicious tendency, and such as (even in the best constituted Governments) may give advantage of opportunity to the designs of those who shall desire to improve the alteration of affairs, to the subversion of the Fundamentals of Government, by which succession is secured; as we of these Nations have lately found by too sad experience. In which respect, the fact of *this Amalekite* was the more hainous, as being an *actual destroying* of the *Lords anointed*.

2. That it was a *voluntary* or rather *wilfull Act*. For he stretched *wilfull* forth his hand, and that with a purpose to destroy the King. Had the King accidentally rushed upon his drawn weapon, or had his armed hand, by impression from some external force, been made the instrumental cause of taking away the life of the *Lords anointed*, or any other like accident had rendred him the *destroyer of the King*, though *besides his intention*; it had been an *infelicity* to have been bewailed all the daies of his life: But to reach forth his armed hand to lend him a *voluntary wound*, with a purpose to take away his life, was a *crime*, not to be expiated with his life it self. Every sin receives its degrees of *sinfulness* from the degrees of *voluntariness* appearing in it. And the more hainous the sin is, the more aggravation doth it admit from the *concurrence of the will* in any sort, because the greater an *evil* is in it self, the more *perversion of the will* (whose only proper object is good) must there needs be, to render it capable of *choosing* it.

3. (and lastly) That it was committed *deposuit*, he was not afraid to do it. A *modest, timorous sinner* hath so much (at least) of the appearance of *virtue*, as abates something of the odiousness of the sin he commits. But a very strange monster of *wickedness* must he needs be, who hath arrived at the unhappy pinnacle of *sinning dedolently*. To baffle shame, and muzzle fear, and stifle conscience in sinning,

Audacious.

The loyal Subjects Indignation;

finning, implies a kind of *absolute Sovereignty* and *Dominion* in wickedness, and renders the person so qualified, a kind of *omnipotent sinner*, and (by consequence) the most remote from all possibility of repentance. And such a *Wretch* doth *David* imply that man to be, whom neither *Religion* towards *God*, nor reverence to *Majesty* will restrain from so horrid a crime, as this of *destroying the Lords anointed*, let whatever can be pleaded on his behalf: Which brings me to the second particular evidence which *David* gives to the *Quid* or matter of his *Answer*, (the first General part of my Text) which hath waited a long while for its dispatch,

2.

The second particular evidence in reference to the matter of *David's* reply (or the doctrine of the bairnness of King-killing) The invalidity of all Pleas made for it,

And that is, [the invalidity of all that had been, or might be pleaded on this malefactor's behalf,] implied in the connexion of this *severe* and *severe expression*, with the *Amalekites* garb and *Narrative*, before improved for his *vindication*. Notwithstanding all which *David* pronounceth him guilty of the horrid sin of *murdering the Lords anointed*, and sentenceth him accordingly. To clear the Justice of which *Censure* and *Sentence*, (seeing it will much conduce to the main Hypothesis [the setting forth the horrid wickedness of King-killing] and be of much use to us in our application) we will consider every one of his real or possible Pleas apart, and answer them in their order. His own Pleas are seven (before mentioned) to which we will adde one made by Interpreters of this Scripture; and that shall be the first.

The *Amalekites* pleas answered

Plea 1. answered, (which is the plea of Interpreters for him.)

First, (though the *Amalekite* pleads it not, yet) there may be a Question made, Whether the Holy Ghost in the relation of *Saul's* death, 1 Sam. 31. 4, 5. do not acquit him of having any hand in the Fact, though he (in hope of reward) might take it upon himself? For the story tells us, that when *Saul* had desired his *Armour-bearer* to do him the kindness to dispatch him, and he had refused, *Saul* took a sword and fell upon it: and that he died of that wound by his own hand, seems probable by what is immediately subjoined, that *Saul's Armour-bearer* seeing that he was dead, fell likewise upon his own sword and died. From hence (with much likelihood) some Interpreter confidently acquit the *Amalekite* from the Fact. Nor shall I much contend with them about it, seeing I shall (upon other accounts) clear *David's* justice upon him anon. Though I might tell them that there is no cogent reason to demonstrate a contradiction between the relation of the History, and the *Amalekites* Narrative. For what if *Saul* fell upon his own sword, and the *Amalekite* says

sayes he found him *leaning upon his Spear*? Both may be true; he might (first) *fall on his own Sword*, and (that not dispatching him) might scramble up again, and make a *Second Attempt* with his *Spear*, but could not make way through his *Coat of Mayle*, as the Margin to v. 9. renders his words to the *Amalekite*. And what if it be subjoyned, after his *falling on his Sword*, that his *Armour-bearer saw that he was dead*? Doth it therefore follow, that he *died immediately* of that Wound? The *Armour-bearer* might *conclude him dead*, seeing him so *wounded and pale*; when yet he might struggle longer with death, then he imagined, and *out-live* him that thought him dead, even till the *Amalekite* came, and finding him in that sad posture, killed him outright.

But (however) be it that the *Amalekite did the Fact* indeed, or only *boasted* (in hope of reward) *that he had done it*; yet received he no wrong from *David*. For,

1. *Voluntary Confession* (especially, where no Force is used to extort it, upon publick arraignment, in the presence of a Judge) is *Conviction sufficient*, to justify the condemnation of the person confessing.

2. Abundance of *Circumstances* there were to confirm *David* in the belief of it: As,

1. That he was an *Amalekite*, and so one that upon a *National quarrel*, might be supposed to owe *Saul* a Mischief, who had put the whole Nation of which he was, excepting only himself, and some few more (it is probable) carried away contrary to Gods Commandative for Slaves, 1 Sam. 15. And it may be (upon this account, in his Examination) *David* asked him again, *whence he was*? V. 13. (though in his Relation of the Discourse between *Saul* and himself, he had let fall no less before) that he might judicially confess that Circumstance, so necessary to his Conviction, upon Deliberation.

2. The *Crown* and *Bracelet*, which were known to be *Sauls*, gave evidence, that in all probability, he had the Rifling of dead *Saul*; and probably, might kill him, that he might plunder him.

3. That whether he *committed the Fact*, or no, yet was he guilty of it, *intention* (at least) otherwise he would not have made so formal a story to gain from *David* the reputation of having done it, and related it with a kind of *glorying* in it, as an act of merit towards *David*. Infomuch

The loyal Subjects Indignation,

Insomuch, that if he were not really *guilty of the Fact*, *David* was upon these evidences, *guiltless of his Blood*, and as he tels him, *V. 16. that his Blood lay upon his own head.*

And thus hath the *first Plea* made by Interpreters on his behalf, no other strength than this, *to give farther evidence to the horrid guilt of King-killing*, even though it be granted. For if that person justly died for it, who had (as the Plea supposeth) *no other guilt* of the Royal Blood upon him, than that he *esteemed* the shedding of it a *meritorious Service*, and shewed his *willingness to have done it*, by *boasting that he had done it*: What a dreadful Crime must it be, to be guilty of the Fact indeed? the very *Intimation* of a *good will* whereunto, rendered this *Amalekite* so criminal.

His own seven
Pleas answer-
ed.

Et passa est Poena peccandi sola voluntas?

Plea 2.
Answered.

But it may be further said (as was before urged) that *Saul* was a *Tyrant*, a *Persecutor of David*, and his *deadly Enemy*. And it is hard measure that he should die by *Dauids Command*, who had by this very Fact saved *Dauids Life*.

To this, the Answer (from *Dauids Principles*) is easie: He was indeed *Dauids Enemy*, but he was *Dauids Sovereign*, and the *Lords Anointed*. Besides, Had *David* been so desirous to be rid of his Enemy in such a way, he needed not to have left that work to be done by an *Amalekite*, seeing he had refused such a *Service*, when offered by better *Friends*. Lastly, If he were *Dauids Enemy*, he ought the rather to do him *Justice* upon his *Murderer*, that he might declare himself in the execution of Law the more *impartial*; and learn others, that the *private Injuries* received from our just and lawful *Sovereigns*, ought to make no *Impressions* upon our *Allegiance to the Lords Anointed*.

Plea 3.
Answered.

But *Saul himself desired him* to put an end to his miserable life, (the *Amalekites Second Plea*)

Grant this too. But was he *Saul*, that is, *himself*, when he desired it; Fear, and Guilt, and Anguish, and Loss of Blood and Spirits, and (it may be, over and above) an *evil Spirit from the Lord* (with which he was wont to be haunted) may be supposed at this time, to intercept the exercise of his Reason. And is it the part of a *Sober man* to kill another at his Request, when he is out of his wits, and understands not what he saies? Will no Law allow a mad-

man

man to dispose of his *Goods and Lands*, and will it warrant him to dispose of his *Life*? Besides, Who is there that thinks himself obliged to gratifie the desires, or obey the Commands of a *private Person in distemper*, by easing him of his Life, whenever he thinks it a burden? How much less, when the case concerns a *publick Magistrate*, whose Life and Death are of publick concernment, and the Lives of whole Nations are bound up in his? So that the *best obedience*, in such a case as this, had been, by Principles of Religion and Reason to have endeavoured the *reducing of his Sovereignty to a sober temper*, and till that could have been effected, to have taken from him all *Instruments of death*, bound up his wound, and *saved his Life* (as far as in him lay) though against his Will.

And be it (which is his third Plea) *that the case seemed never so desperate; and the wound he had given himself, never so mortal* Plea 4.
Answered.
 appearance, yet so long as Life remained, all hopes were not vanished. How many persons have recovered, whom the best Physicians and Chyrurgions have given over for *desperate*? He should have *endeavour'd* his best, and then if *Success* had not answered the endeavour, the *endeavour* (which was his duty) would have cleared his *Loyalty*, both before God and man. Besides, Nature and Reason incline every man to a desire of enjoying his Friends, *as long as possibly he can*, though all *Prognosticks* assure him, that enjoyment cannot be long. We do not think a *Cordial* cast away upon a *near Relation*, the utmost effect whereof, we are assured, cannot be more, then to *respite death for a few minutes*. To be sure, all Nations that are never so little reclaimed from Barbarism, would with one mouth *condemn him*, who when a dear friend lies *struggling with the pangs of death* it self, should *knock him on the head*, to put him out of his Pain. And therefore, what in a like case, had been *unlawful* for the *Amalekites* to have done to a *private Relation*, was *notoriously criminal* towards the *Lords Anointed*.

If it were (as he farther alleadged) really true, that the *Philistines* were in never so hot a Pursuit of the *flying King*, yet had this *Amalekites* no warrant to *kill him for prevention*: For, might not *Providence* have led them *another way*, and suffered none of them to light upon that place where he lay? The *Divine Protections* of *Sovereign Princes* are many times of kin to *Miracle*. *Great deliverances* groweth he to his *Kings*, and sheweth mercy to his *Anointed*, Plea 5.
Answered.

The loyal Subjects Indignation.

Pfal. 18. 50. Again, admit, he were never so much in danger of falling into the hands of his pursuing enemies, yet who knows, but he might have lighted into the hand of some *Noble Personage*, that (in honour) would have spared the *Royal Blood*, and thought it more generous, to take a *King Captive*, then (in cold Blood) to have murdered him, when he was not capable of resistance? Or if it had been the Lot of a *Mercenary Souldier* to have lighted upon him, might he not have endeavoured the *Preservation* of such a *Noble Prisoner* in hope of a *Ransom*? Nay, had this *Amalek* been sure, that all the *Philistines* were so barbarous, that *never* an one of them, would have saved the *Kings* Life, out of hatred to his *Religion*, as well as *Person*; yet (had he consulted either the honour of his *Sovereign* dying, or the *safety* of his *Soul* after death) it had been far better for him to have left him to their *Swords*, then to perform his Request with his own. For had an *Uncircumcised Philistine* for his *Religious* sake, slain him, his death had been his *Infelicity*, but not his *guilt*: Nay, those hands that slew him upon that account, had advanced him to the honour of *Martyrdom*; whereas, to die *precariouly*, by the hands of a *Subject*, whom his own *pouillanimous* *intreay* had prevailed with, to take away his life, rendred him a cowardly *self-murderer* (by *Provie*) and lost him not his *Life* only, but his *Honour*, and ('tis to be feared) his *Soul* together. Besides, If this Principle be but admitted, [That it is not criminal to take away a *Kings* Life, provided it be done to prevent the killing of him by other hands,] How can any *Princes* life be secure? For may not any one of many *Conspirators*, justify himself, (by this excuse) for killing the *King*, that he did it, to prevent his *Fellows*? And would it not be the greatest and subtillest piece of *ambition* that could be, in such a case, to be the *first* of a traitorous Crew, that should strike the mortal Blow, seeing he of all the Company, would be secured of *Indemnity*, upon this Principle, who could obtain the *precedency* in the horrid Fact? Nay, how easie would it be, for any person, (especially, if his own *Suspicions* may be admitted for evidence) to conceive a *Plot* against the *Kings* Life to be contrived by others, and then account himself at liberty to kill him for prevention? However, Suppose there could be a case in which so dangerous a Principle might be admitted, yet (surely) it must be the *last Refuge*, when a sufficient Trial hath been made of all *Means* to prevent that danger, which threatens

threatens a *Princes* Life, and all prove ineffectual. Had this *Amalekite* done his best to have saved him from the *Philistines*; had he put his *shoulder* under his *fallen Sovereign*, to lift him out of the *common Road*, into some *by-place*, had he taken some course to *disguise* him, that the *Pursuers*, had they lighted on him, might not have *known* him to be the *King*; or any other way tried his utmost to secure him, he might with more appearance of Innocence, have done him this kindness at the very last, to have slain him himself. Was Mount *Gilboa*, or the Coast near it, so barren of *Bushes*, or *Pines*, or *Thickets*, or *Hollow Trees*, or *Cottages*, that might have given a distressed King shelter, till the Pursuit was over; that no *shift* could be made, to shew a *Subjects Loyalty*, whatever the *Success* had been? Well fare the hearts of such *Subjects*, who in like exigences, would have tried 1000 *homely Artifices*, to have secured their *Liege Sovereign*, rather than have taken this course of preventing the *crudelty* of pursuing *Enemies*, by *murdering him more charitably with their own Hands*: And if none of them should have proved successful, would have stood by him, to the utmost of extremities, and then have opposed their own *Breasts* against the drawn *Swords* of bloody Pursuers, though they had been sure to die with him; as considering, that the Life of the *Lords Anointed*, was worth 10000 of theirs, and that (next him that dies for Gods-sake, there is none who comes so near the honour of *Martyrdom*, as he that dies for Gods *Vicegerents* sake, his Lawful *Sovereign*.

Lastly, Had the *Philistines* killed him, they were in a double capacity more excusable than this *Amalekite*: For they were *Conquerors* in a Battel newly gained, and in the heat of pursuit; (and in *National Wars*, the *Conquered* forfeits his life to the *Conquerors* mercy) Nay, they were *Subjects of another Countrey*, and so *Saul* was to them but an *Ordinary Person*: But this *Murderer* was not only of the same *conquered party* with *Saul*, and so had no claim to a power of his life by the *Success of the Day*, but one of his own *Subjects* and *Souldiers*, raised for the defence of his Person, and so was obliged by all means (even with the loss of his own) to preserve (not take away,) the Life of his *Sovereign* and *General*, and the *Lords Anointed*.

It is probable enough (his *Fifth Plea*) that he came by the meer conduct of *Providence*, to the place of this sad Tragedy, and in his own Flight stumbled upon *Saul* unawares, and that the last he was

Plea 6.
answered.

in to save his *own Life*, somewhat disordered his *Reason*, that he had not a *like* freedom of deliberating what was best to be done, *as at other times*. But yet he was an *Amalekite*, and there might be something of Enmity against *Saul*. — *Alia mente repostum*, upon the account of a *National Feud*, and in such a disposition (as malice useth to be *quickly up* and *ready*, when Providence offers an opportunity of retaliation) this time (how little soever it was) might be *long enough* to excite a desire of *Revenge*, together with a *Plot* to make it seem innocent, by pleading the *suddenness of the Accident* in its excuse. Besides, it may seem (by his own relation) that he was not in so much *hurry of thoughts* by the suddenness of the Providence, but that he had *Reason* enough left, to give a *direct Answer* to *Saul's Question*, V. 7, 8. and to *argue himself* into the Commission of that bloody Fact from the *Considerations* which he *pleads*, as moving him unto it; and that he was not in so much *hast*, but that he had time enough (by his own confession) to hold a formal Discourse with *Saul*, (too long (one would think) for a *dying* and a *flying* Person to dialogue together) and surely, he needed not much *longer time*, than he confessed he had, (especially, seeing he had *leisure* enough to inform himself, that the Person he discoursed with, was his *Sovereign*) to reflect upon his own heart this *Question*, [*What warrant have I to destroy the Lords Anointed?*] So that whatever his *hast* was to save his *own life*, he made *more hast than good speed* to take away *Saul's*.

Plea 7.
Answered.

And though he would seem *free from any covetous design*, tempting him to such a Fact, that he might enrich himself with the *Royal Spoiles*; yet, who knows, but that it was *first in his Intention*, and the ground of his Action, though upon *second thoughts*, (considering how difficult it would be for him, a *private Soldier*, to make many of things so commonly known, without being questioned, *where he had them?* and that to bring them to the *next Successor*, might yield him more *profits*, than he could have *sold them for*) he afterwards thought it fitter to present them to *David*? However, (be it that he had *no intention so dispose of them any other way*, when he committed the Fact yet) was he not hereby much furthered in his excuse. For could he think, that an *Intention* honestly to dispose of the *Royal Ornaments*, would make a compensation for the *murder of him that wore them?* Surely, if so, he was *much out*, in hanging them to *David*, who had now reason to value a *King's Life*.

Life higher than so, by the price he could not but set on his own. Nay more, he could not indeed have given more *loud evidence* against himself, than by bringing of those *Regalia* he had done. For hereby he had cut himself off from a capacity of pleading *ignorance* of the Person whom he slew; which (if any) would have most iteaded him; for he could not but know, that the *Crown* he brought, was a *Badge of Sovereignty*, and therefore in killing him, on whose Head he confessed it was, he confessed that he knowingly killed the *Lords Anointed*.

Lastly, All the Expressions of sorrow for the Fact, with which he uttered in his Relation, might be but *feigned* and *formal*: Or if not so, yet what proportion was there between a *base Vileless Tears*, and *Royal Blood*? It was well that he mourned for the *Infelicity* of his Fact; but it would have been better, if he had repented of the *wickedness* of it. And if (seeing how it was like to go with him) he might *plead that also*; he must know, that *repentance*, though it may avail a *murderer* towards the obtaining of his *pardon from God*, yet it is not a Plea sufficient to indemnifie in a *Court of humane Justice*. *Murderers*, especially, such *Atrocious Murderers*, justly die, by Gods Law and mans, though truly *penitent*. The *Tears of Repentance* may do much towards the reparation of a mans *own Innocence*, but can do nothing towards a reparation for *another's Life*.

Plea 8.
Answered,

In a word, if indeed he *repented* of the fact, he could not but be willing to *prevent* (as far as in him lay) the spreading of the *leaven* of his *example*, to infect others with a like guilt: and the effectuallest course to *prevent* such crimes for the *future*, is the exemplary punishment of those who have *taught* the world to *commit* them.

And thus have we dispatched the *first part* of the Text (with its reference to the *hypothesis* of the *Chapter*, and the *Doctrine* couched in it, *The hainousness of the sin of murdering Kings*.) Come we now to the *two remaining*, which (in the *person* expressing his sense of it, and the *manner how* he expresseth it) contain the substance of those *practical Inferences* which it affords for *Application*. And we will begin with the *second part*.

Secondly, *Quis*, or [the person expressing his *deep sense* of this horrid Fact.] *David*, who may herein be exemplary to after-Ages, in the *twofold Consideration*, wherein the distribution of my Text represented him.

Part 2:

Quis, or the Person thus replying, *David*.

I. Consider

Considered,
according to
what he was.
In his personal
Capacity,

An eminent
Saint,

I. Consider him according to *what he was*, in a twofold Capacity.

1. As a *man*, (in his personal capacity) and so I told you before he was a *truly pious person*, an *eminent Saint*, and for nothing more eminent, than for *tenderness of Conscience*.

That he was a *person truly pious and eminent for Sainthood*, is demonstratively evident in the *high characters* the Holy Ghost every where bestows upon him. *Gods servant David*, is a Title wherein (by the mutual, and that frequent enterchanging of it in Scripture) both *God and he seem to delight*: And such a *servant* God thought him, that he seems to *boast* of his *findings*, when he had met with him, as if the *like* were not to be found again, [*I have found David my servant*, Psal. 89. 20.] So good a *servant* he was, that (as another high expression carries it) God himself could hardly *wish* or *desire* a *better*, (allowing the imperfections incident to humane frailty) for he was, *as man after his own heart*; and one that would stick at none of his Commands, but *do as he saw him do*. A man so good, that God takes measure of the goodness or badness of all his Successors, by the *proportion* or *disproportion* they bare to him: as being so far accounted good, as they *walked in the way and steps of their Father David*; and so far bad, as they *departed from them*; of which frequent Instances might be given, would time permit. Yea, so good, that the best of men that ever was (the Lord Jesus Christ) is by the Holy Ghost, called by the Name of David as his most suitable Type; Jer. 30. 9. Hosea 3. 5. Nor do the Characters given him in that kind, speak more than his *own practice* justified. Every morning, his *making himself conversant with God*, Psal. 139. 8. Yea, he was one, who (over and above the seventh day reserved by God for his publique service, which he as constantly attended, as the *door-keepers of Gods house* themselves, Psal. 84. 10.) consecrated *seven petty Sabbaths a day* to devotion; Psal. 119. 164. and broke his sleep at midnight to spend time in religious duties, Psal. 119. 62. and one of so *raised a spirit* in his pious meditations and devotions, that his remains have been ever since made use of, as *Forms of publick Devotion* in the Church, in which Notion he is called the *sweet Psalmist of Israel*, 2 Sam. 23. 1.

and notably
tender-Con-
scientious.

And for the *tenderness of his conscience*, there needs no other evidence, than (that one instance already touched at) the disturbance he felt in himself for *cutting off* but one *thread of Sauls garment*,

man, though done for a testimony of his own innocency, 1 Sam. 24. 11. *This is the person, whom the relation of this Amalekian concerning his murdering of Saul, doth so transport into an holy passion, that he cries out, How wast thou not afraid to stretch forth thy hand to destroy the Lords Anointed?*

And herein he sets a fair copy for all persons who pretend to Sainthood, and plead Tenderneſſe of Conſcience, to write after: and gives us of this Age a liberal occaſion to wonder at that new-fashion'd, or rather new-fangled garb of Sanctity, which ſome have aſſumed to cloak the actual guilt of farre more horrid Villanies, than this (the very relation whereof ſtartled David), in my Text; and at that paradoxical notion of tenderneſſe of conſcience, which admits Murders and Treasons of the moſt horrid aggravation imaginable, into the reputation of moſt heroicall virtues, and elevated acts of Religion. For ſurely, if David were ſuch an eminent Saint, theſe perſons who ſo directly walk Amipodes to his principles and praſtiſe, can paſſ with all ſober Chriſtians for no other then incarnate devils: and if Davids Conſcience were ſo truly and remarkably tender, the Conſciences of theſe men muſt needs be harder than Adamants, and ſeared into an incurable dedolency, whoſe principles and tempers carry ſo irreconcilable a contradiction to his, and that in a matter of ſo high a concernment as blood, and that not of ordinary perſons, but of the Lords Anointed.

2. Consider him as a King (in his politick and publick capacity,) which by Sauls death he was now veſted withall. The Intelligence, which this Miſcreant brought him, (it is probable) gave him the firſt glimpse, the firſt intimation of the actual devolution of the Crown upon him: and now, as a publick Magiſtrate, he gives the Relator himſelf the firſt handſell of his new Royalty, a ſevere increpation in the Text, and a deſerved execution in thoſe that follow. And a like piece of Juſtice doth he, (a while afterwards) execute upon the murderers of Iſhbobaſh the ſon of Saul, who had for two yeats been ſet up by a Faction, as Competitor with him in the Kingdom, chap. 4. Examples followed by Amaziah King of Judah, upon the murderers of his father Joaſh, 2 King. 14. 5. and very ordinarily to be paralleld in all Hiſtories, wherein there is no piece of Juſtice more commonly taken notice of, then the ſevere inquisition and recompence made by ſucceeding Princes, for the blood of their

(Upon occasion whereof,

their *Predecessors*. And indeed, both the *Law of God* (which admits no compensation for *human blood*, but the *blood of him that sheds it*; and that upon good reason too, because in the murder of man the *Image of God* is defaced, *Gen. 9. 6.*) allows and requires this severity, in such cases: and the *Law of man* conformably allows an *exemplary addition of penal circumstances*, to that severity in the case of *King-killing*, upon weighty reason, seeing in that *prodigious crime* there is a defacing of a *double Image of God*, both *Natural and Political*. So that if it were possible to inflict a *double death* upon such malefactors, their *double*, yea, *manifest guilt* (for he that murders a *Supreme Magistrate*, virtually and in effect, murders a *Community*) would abundantly justify the rigour of such an execution.

Justin. Sar. 8.

*Horum supplicio non debuit una parari
Simila, non serpens unus, non culens unus.*

One death (indeed) is too little for such *Paricides*, as kill the *Father of an whole Kingdom*. Severity in such a case, is such a piece of *righteousness*, as *establisheth the Throne* (*Psalm. 16. 12.*) by scaring those of succeeding Ages from doing so *presumptuously*.

The severe circumstances of the execution of Traitors, justified.)

Upon consideration whereof, as *those Princes* are abundantly justified, who in this piece of justice follow *Dauids example*; so are *those persons* as much to be blamed, whose either *good nature*, and *softness of disposition*, or *evil Principles*, and *partnership of guilt*, prejudiceth them against the *severe executions of Regicides*, which humane *Laws every where appoint*, and humane *Authority (in terror)* for others terrour, *seuimus practisat*. For it is but meet, that *exemplary severity* should teach men the difference between the value to be put upon the persons of *Princes*, and *Subjects*: Otherwise *Assassinations* would be as frequently acted upon *Sovereigns*, as *Murders* upon *Private men*; yea, hardly would any *King* that hath an *enemy* daring enough to adventure a *bare life*, be translated into Heaven *siccat morte*, with an unbloody death. Whereas (on the other side) experience tells us, that those who can condemn a *single death*, yet dread it clothed with such *Circumstances*, as carry a *Brand of eternal ignominy* with them, by a *Blas* upon the *Memory*, and a *Tincture* upon the *Bloud* to all posterity.

II. Consider

II. Consider *David* according to the *Presumptions on which the Murderer grounded his Confidence*, and we shall find an ample Ground, for the farther improvement of his Example herein. For, if a *David* persecuted by a *Tyrannous King*, and that without any colour of Justice, for so many years, be thus affected with the Murder of his *Implacable Persecutor*, if a *David*, who had fled to Arms for shelter, against his *Sovereigns unjust violence*, neither dared himself to use those Arms to the prejudice of his Person, when he had him within his power, nor would dismiss his *actual Murderer* without *exemplary vengeance*; and lastly, if the *undoubted right of Succession in his Throne*, and the *long expected news of an avoidance thereof*, could not soften the radicated Principles of Loyalty in *David*, so far, as to induce him to admit of a secret titillation of complacency in that *Fact*, when done to his hand, which made his way plain to the possession of a just *Royalty*, or sweeten him one jot towards the Person who had been the *Instrument of making room* for him: doth it not demonstratively follow, that all other Persons, (especially such as are not under the like probable Effects of Temptation in this kind) should be as slowly irreconcilable to the *Agents and Principles*, which are in the same condemnation, for prodigious impiety and flagitiousness? Especially, when it shall be considered, how *emphatically*, how *pathetically* *David* expresseth his *sense* of this horrid action, which I shall lay before your consideration in the handling of the *Third and Last Part* of my Text.

And as he was mistaken by the confident Presumptions of the Murderer.

Thirdly, The *Quomodo*, or manner of *David's Expression* of the violence of those *Passions*, which he inwardly felt upon occasion of this *Relation*; which (as I told you before) is by way of sharp and cutting Interrogation.

Part 3.
Quomodo.
Or the Manner how *David* answers.

Importing, { 1. Grief.
2. Wonder.
3. Horror.

With

1. *Grief*. Which, how great it was in *David's Breast* upon *Grief*, this occasion, divers Particulars in the *Text* and *Context* express.

And those may be reduced to these two Heads;

(Evidenced in,

1. *Sudden Transports.*
2. *Deliberate and Solemn Acts.*

F

1. For

*The loyal Subjects Indignation,*His Deliberate
and Solemn
Acts.)

1. For Evidence of his *Sudden Transports*, let us but view the 11th. and 12th. verses; where we are told, that he *rent his cloaths*, and put all his *Courts* into a like Posture of Mourning. *He mourns, and weeps, and fasts all that day until Even.*

2. For evidence of his *Deliberate and Solemn Acts*, to testify his hearty sorrow for this doleful accident, we find him, *v. 17, 18.* (as I told you in the Preface) penning an *Epicidium* or Funeral-Song, for the constant use both of *himself*, and the *People of Judah*, and commanding it to be set to a *Musical Tune*, and taught them; that this Lamentation might be perpetuated to all posterity. Nor was *Dauids* example in this kind altogether *barren*, for we find it afterwards followed by the *godly Jews*, upon occasion of the untimely death of *good Josiah*; they mourned so truly and heartily for him, that the greatness of their sorrow in after-ages grew into a *Prove.b.* [*as the mourning of Hadadrimmon in the Valley of Megiddon, Zech. 12. 11.*] And among their Solemn Lamentations, had one kept on record to Posterity, penned by an holy Prophet, *Lam. 4. 20.* and perpetuated the solemn remembrance of that heavy stroke by publick Command: For so *2 Chron. 35. 24, 25.* expresseth it at large: *All Judah and Jerusalem mourned for Josiah; and Jeremiah lamented for Josiah, and all the singing men and singing women spake of Josiah in their Lamentations to this day, and made them an Ordinance in Israel, and behold, they are written in the Lamentations.*

And afterwards, when *Gedaliah* the good Governour left by *Nebuzaradan*, was treacherously slain by *Ishmael*, *Jer. 41. 1, 2.* We find there was an *Annual Fast* appointed, and observed till after the return from Captivity, upon the *Month* wherein he was slain, as the most judicious Interpreters expound the *Fast of the 7th. Month*, *Zech. 7. 5.* Which Examples loudly call for like deep and solemn Impressions and Expressions of heartiest sorrow from all Loyal Subjects upon like Accidents, and abundantly justify the *Annual Solemnization of this Day*; (especially if we consider, how great a *Loss* these Kingdoms suffered, and how great a *Guilt* they contracted by the Lamentable Providence that occasioned it: Of which, more plentifully anon. Indeed the *Fears of Subjects* are the best *Spices* to embalm a *Sovereigns Memory* withal; nor can there be a louder attestation to the *Deserts of a Prince*, than that his *Loss* is bewailed as a *Common Calamity*. Upon which

which account it is, that God promifeth it as a fignal Mercy to the hopeful Son of wicked *Jeroboam*, that all *Israel* should mourn for him, 1 Kings 14. 13. and threatens it as a heavy judgement on *Jehoiakim*, the wicked Son of good *Jofiah*, that he should be buried with the Burial of an *Ass*, thrown in a ditch, without any one to mourn or lament for him, neither Brother, nor Sister, nor Subject, Jer. 22. 18, 19.

2. *Wonder* and *Astonishment*, at the strangeness of the accident, *Wonder*, and boldness of the Villain, in first daring to act, and then, not blushing to relate that fearful wickedness. And fit it is that such Monsters wherever they are found, should still set the whole world at gaze in a pious *Amazement*. Which Affection, however in it self it be not so directly moral, as some others are, yet it conduceth very much to the exciting of that which is so, to wit, an holy shyness and aversion of mind towards those *Tentations*, which we are before prepossessed against with a potent prejudice, as so many monstrous and portentous Births of hellish wickedness: Which apprehensions whosoever hath, concerning the detestable sin of King-killing, will quickly improve it unto,

3. *Abomination* and *Abhorrency*: the third Passion, wherein *David* expresseth his sense of this horrid Fact. For we may very well suppose that his tender and delicate Conscience presently took an Alarm from the *Amalekites* brazen-faced Relation, and rallied all the Spirits in his Body, to the Fort-Royal of his Heart, to fortifie it against the pestilential breath of such a prodigious Monster; and thence it shot forth the Darts of fiery indignation in the Frowns of his Royal Countenance, and sharpened every hair of his head into a *Porcupines Quill*, which extream horror erected (as it were of purpose) to fly in the Face of the detestable Object. All this, and more, presents it self to our apprehension, in the Form of this stinging Interrogation, wherein, *Quot Verba, Tot Tonitrua*; every word is a Clap of Thunder, How wast thou not afraid to stretch forth thy hand to destroy the Lords Anointed?

and Horrour
or Abomination

And indeed, nothing lesse than such an *Hyperbole* of abomination will serve to express, as it ought, the just sense which those who will imitate *David* in either of his forementioned Capacities, (whether of good men or good Magistrates) ought to have of that *βδελυγμία πικρόδυσ*, that monstrous steam of Hell, and stench of the bottomless Pit, which breaths out of the mouths of those unclean

Beasts, and Lying Prophets, (whose Throats are worse than an open Sepulcher) by whom the sin of King-killing (which David and every good man so startles at) is not only proclaimed Lawful, but extolled as meritorious; and much more, of that stupruous and monstrous, or Abomination of Desolation, (the practise of that monstrous Principle) when (especially) it shall be set up in the holy place, and worshipped in the thoughts of deluded Creatures, as a distinguishing Character of eminent holiness.

II.
Second View
of the Text.
As accommoda-
ble to the Oc-
casion.

And thus (at last, have I done with the Text, as it relates to the Chapter and Narrative contained in it, together with the Doctrine and Inferences (in general) comprehended therein. It only remains, that I review them in this place, as they may be accommodated by way of Parallel to the sad occasion of this day's Solemnity.

The Parallel
relating to the
Parts of the
Text.

And truly, when I thought of finding out a parallel Text of Scripture to the Text of Providence, which this sad day calls to our Remembrance, I must confess, I found myself at an unusual loss. Many Kings deaths are recorded in Sacred Writ, and divers of them violent and bloody; and divers of them brought about by the hands of *Rebellious and Traiterous Subjects*. But to match all the Circumstances of the most execrable Murder of our Late Gracious Sovereign, I know none that can tolerably pretend unto it.

In the First,
(expressing the
Horridness of
the Sin.)

That which my Text attempts towards it, lies rather in the parallel which should be between *David's Spirit* and *ours*, upon so sad an occasion (for which end mainly I made choice of it) then that which may be supposed between *Saul's Person* and *Death* and his *Majesties*, which are (almost altogether) *unparallelable*. And therefore in those short Touches of Collation, which I shall bestow upon them, I must of necessity compare them, and what befell them, in their *discrepancies*; as that *Painor*, who to set off the vast bigness of an *Elephant*, draws a *Moose* by his side.

How far it
holds : &
Where it
holds, viz.

It is true indeed, that in some of the aggravations of the horrid murder committed upon them both, the Parallel holds. That both were *Kings*, *Anointed*, and the *Lords Anointed*, and both murdered by *Subjects*; but the differences are far more in the rest of the Circumstances, and those so vast, that the power of Invention can hardly bring them nearer to one another, than the two Roles.

I. Consider

1. Consider we the *Person Murdered* (our Late Gracious, and now Glorious *Sovereign*). A person (take him either as *Man*, or *King*) of a Temper so far different from *Sauls*, that as the one seemed to be composed of *Cruelty*, so the other seemed to have nothing in his Constitution but *Clemency*. A person (in both capacities, both of *Man* and *King*) so free, not only from the *guilt*, but even from the *suspicion* of any enormous Crime, that the malice of his Accusers themselves, could find nothing to stuffe out that *Black Charge* which they laid against him, but the unhappy *Contests* between *Himself* and his *Subjects* (the sad Issues of *mutual Jealousies*, blown up into an *open War* by a Divine Blast upon *all those Counsels*, which might have prevented it, and a just immision of an evil Spirit of *Perversities* to mingle with them, and render them ineffectual, for the just punishment of our sins) which indeed were his *Infelicity*, but their *guilt*, who first made the Breach, and afterwards (as much as in them lay) hindred the *making it up*, because their own Conscience of having unpardonably offended him, told them, they could expect no *Security*, but in his *Ruine*. A Person and *King*, of so elevated a size, both for *Intellectual* and *Moral* Endowments, that the stature of his *inward man*, as much overtopped the most accomplished of his Subjects, as *Sauls outward man* did overlook the rest of the *Israelites*, 1 Sam. 10. 23.

In the difference between the Persons murdered; King *Saul* & King *Charles*. (Occasioning a short Character of our late *Sovereign*.)

For his *Intellectuals*: He was endowed with such an height of *Phancy*, as would have won him the *Laurel* in a Commonwealth of *Poets*. He was Master of so sublime a *Grandeur* of Language, and stately *Majesticalness*, joynd with an amiable fluency of *Stytle*, as might have challenged a *Dictatorship* among the best of *Orators*; of which his *Royal Remains* are an indisputable evidence. And for *Soundness of Judgment*, both in Points of *Controversie*, and Cases of *Conscience*, he might have challenged the *Theological Chair*, upon the account of meer worth, and have sat not only *Regius Professor*, but *Rex Professorum*, in both *Universities*.

For his *Morals*: He was *Just*, *Valiant*, *Temperate*, *Chast*, *Merciful* (and what not?) to such a proportion, as he might have set the best of his Subjects a Copy of vertue in his own example.

Indeed he was a *Prince* who might have passed clear with the universal reputation of the best of *English Kings*, had he not fallen into the *worst of Times*, wherein the *English Manners* were so debauched.

The loyal Subjects Indignation.

bauched with the blandishments of a long continued tranquillity and plenty; and their judgements so intoxicated with prejudice, and censoriousness, that too too many neither loved the practice of virtue themselves, nor would willingly allow the reputation of it to others.

A King, whom if we had not by our sins rendred our selves unworthy to enjoy longer, we had been (it may be to this day.) in danger of nothing more then being surfeited with our own felicity. And that we enjoyed him not, all the world must bear him witness, it was not his fault, seeing in that last and fatal Treaty (as Providence made it) at Newport, he shewed so great a desire in his Gracious Condescensions to make his people happy, that he even forgot he had any share of his own to challenge among them; having indeed given them all, but (what he could not part with) that Sovereign goodnesse of disposition, which was the only thing (almost) that he had left, besides the redintegrated affections of his people, (divers of whom began then to know him better, and therefore valued him the more, out of conviction that they had ignorantly persecuted him) to support his Throne withall. So that, I must needs say it was the fatal insatiation, and infelicity of these Nations, that they knew not in the day of their visitation the things that belonged to their peace: and therefore were they (by the righteous judgement of God) for a full decad of years and more, justly hid from their eyes.

*O fortunatos nimium bona si sua nossent
Anglicolas! —*

And for his Religion, This I think may safely be said without exception from any, but such as all Religions may blush to own, that if the employment of his serene hours were of a piece with the entertainment of his Solitudes and Sufferings; that man is not enough Christian himself, who can admit a dispute in his own bosom, whether he ought not to be ranked among the chiefest of Christians: or, if (as some suppose) his Afflictions were the School wherein he received the first elements of practical Piety, this (indeed and truth) is so far from being a disparagement to him, that it renders him so much more the subject of all good mens admiration and astonishment, for making so wonderfull a proficiency in so short a time, as the digesting into practice the whole Doctrine and Example of his

Master

Master Christ, and especially so much as related to the last passages of his life, proclaims to all the world.

And if in some *modes* and other *circumstantial*s of his *Profession*, he pleased not all *men*, yea possibly might distaste some *good men*; yet (even in these) his *conscientious constancy* (and no other principle could prevail with him, when all his earthly enjoyments were apparently adventured to a desperate irrecoverableness by his adhering to them) ought not to be *displeasing* to any. Especially when we consider, how many *principles* and *practises* of far greater *incompatibility* with *true piety*, must be allowed, to make the Religion of some persons currant, who most disparage His.

And indeed, whatever we thought of him *living* (as to his Religion,) the consequences of his *death* too sadly evidenced, how much the Protestant Cause was concerned in his preservation: and especially, the sad face of this Orphan-Church of ours, which from that time forwards, became the lamentablest scene of *Anarchy* and *Confusion*, that ever was seen in the Christian world, not excepting *Munster* it self, that saw but the *prologue* to our *Tragedy*. For who knows not, that whatever *Persons* or *Parties* stepped up into His vacant Seat, made it the Master-piece of their *policy* (like self-seeking Chirurgions) to keep our *wounds* open, that they might keep themselves in *practise*: and to maintain opposite *Factions* to peck at one another, that whiles the people were busied in *private contests*, they might be the lesse sensible of their *publique oppressions*. Insomuch, that the sad revival of *old Heresies* and *Schismes* (every one of which carried a *Legion* of new ones in its belly) together with the apparent *dangers* of *extirpation* to the true Protestant Religion, and all its *Professors*, for many years together since our sins removed Him from us, have convinced not a few, that he was not so much to blame, as was too commonly thought, for not giving His consent to those *violent* and *sudden changes* which their *misguided zeal* (among many others alike mis-led,) in those times too importunately called for. And no doubt, if he had lived to have perfected his own designed *Modell* for the Churches settlement, most of those *dissentions* that have been of late (and yet are) too *flagrant* among us, had long before they arrived at this *maturity*, been buried in the *grave* of *oblivion*, nor so much by *suppressing*, as by *reconciling* the Dissenters. But, as *David* when he had collected *materials* for the building of the Temple, was fain to leave them

The loyal Subjects Indignation,

then with his Advice and Benediction to his Son *Salomon* to make use of: So our *Gracious Sovereign*, being not permitted by Providence to live to see the *Bearing* of that *Structure*, which he intended, hath left such *Materials* behind him, together with his Fatherly *Charge* and *Blessing*, for this happy work, to his *Genuine Son* and *Lawful Successor*, our present *Gracious King* (whom God long preserve) that we no waies doubt, but (as they are wonderful suitable to the Moderation of his *Majesties* temper, so) they will in due time be successfully made use of by him to the Happy Settlement of these *Churches* with the infinite Contentment of all those that love the *Peace of Jerusalem*.

In the mean while, if by what I have said concerning the *Person*, whose *Funeral Anniversary*, this Day is appointed to solemnize (and I am afraid I have rather injur'd his *Memory*, by saying too little, than the *Truth*, by saying too much of him) you be in any measure sensible of the *Loss* you suffered by his violent removal, I hope you are the better prepared to entertain the next Consideration, wherein this *accursed Parricide* exceeded that of *Saul* in my Text; and that is,

As also in the difference between the Murderers of both.

2. The View of the *Persons* who committed this horrid Act: And here our *Parallel* holds again. Had they been *Native Forreigners*, as the *Amalekites* in my Text was, and as he was (in probability) educated in a *Heathen Religion*, and sowed with the Leaven of *National Enmity*, heightened with the provocation of an universal *Musficre*, acted by the person they so barbarously murdered, upon all their dearest *Relations*, the Crime had been more pardonable; but these *Parricides* were his *Majesties Native Subjects*, that had drawn their first *Breath* in his *Hereditary Dominions*, & to this *Natural Bond of Allegiance*, had voluntarily added divers stronger Tyes of religious *Oaths*, *Protestations* and *Covenants*; yea, some of those that lifted up not their *Heels* only, but their *Hands* against him, were such as did eat of his *Bread*, his own sworn *Servants*, none of them disobliged by any such *Provocations of cruel Usages*, so that it is hard to imagine, how it might be possible to load a Malefactor with more personal *aggravating Considerations*, to render him monstrously criminal, than these were notoriously guilty of; except only, that one (which like a vast *Mountain*, overtops all the rest) that they were Persons of the *Professed True Religion*, and in that Profession, coveted to engross the Reputation of the most eminently strict and *Conscientious*

for his Royal Sovereigns Decollation.

37

Conscientious. And indeed, this is an aggravation, which ought beyond all others, to *whet the indignation* of every Conscientious Christian to a *keenness* beyond all moderation, as that which is most highly injurious to the whole Reformed Cause throughout all the world. O tell it not in Gash, nor publish it in the streets of Askalon, lest the Daughters of the Philistines rejoyce! Alas! What sport hath this sad scandal already made, and how much more may it yet make, to the *Romish Emisseries*, whose Religion hath been wonted to raise *Rebellions*, and to canonize for *Saints*, the most prodigious *Traitors*; and hath alone born the odium of monopolizing the guilt of murdering Princes, for many ages; that they have now too colourable a pretence to discharge a part of that guilt upon those of the *Protestant Communion*, as being hereby become *Frares in malo*, Brethren in this horrid wickedness with themselves! An *Occasion* which we confess we have cause to bewail, because they make such use of it: But we must vithal tell them, that we think our *Profession* no way touched in its reputation thereby, in the judgment of any whom inveterate Malice doth not prejudice against us. For besides, that the Persons engaged in this horrid Act, were (most of them) departed from the *Protestant Faith or Communion*, or both, before they engaged in this horrid Villany, (and so can no more justly reflect the guilt thereof upon the Church they once belonged to, than a *Renegado's* miscarriages can concern the Troop which he hath forsaken) Let the *Romanist* know, that no such Fruit ever grew upon the root of *Protestant Doctrines*, which abhor and detest all such Principles, and execrate all such Offenders; and (by consequence) if any persons who professed themselves *Protestants*, were guilty of this *Barbarous Fact*, *Protestancy* itself is no more concerned in it, than any State is in the Crimes of other Malefactors, who suffer daily by the Sword of Justice, for *Robberies*, *Murders*, and *Burglaries*, without any imputation upon the Community to which they belong; which sufficiently vindicates it self, by the *Laws* provided against such Crimes, and the *Severity* executed upon those who commit them, from any partnership in them.

(Occasioning
a Vindication
of the Prote-
stant Religion
from Romish
Calumnies.)

V. 20.

In a word, when the *Romanist* can shew us any such Tenets as these, publicly avowed by general consent of *Protestants*, [That Christ hath left a power to any Person or Persons in his Church to dispose of all Crowns and Scepters, and hath subordinated the Temporal to the spiri-

The loyal Subjects Indignation.

unal Sword: And that Sovereign Princes being once blessed by the Thunderbolts of that Church, maybe lawfully deposed and murdered by their Subjects; and that Subjects (in such a case) are absolved from all Oaths of Fealty and Allegiance to them, &c.] let them impute the guilt of this horrid Crime to us, and spare not: but so long as we, and they notoriously know, whose Doctrines these are, it is no hard matter to guess, what Heifer those Seditious Seminaries ploughed with, and at whose Forge they sharpened their Goads, and Plow-shares, who sowed the Tares, of such Traisorous Principles, in the field of our English Church and Commonwealth.

However (for our fuller vindication in this Particular) let every true Protestant for ever abominate the Memory of those Monsters, and detest their Principles, who have thus opened the mouths of our Adversaries against our Religion, and laid such a stumbling-block of prejudice in the way of those poor seduced creatures among us, who are thereby hardened in the distance they keep from us and our Communion.

And the vast disproportion between the Facts themselves.

3. A Third Consideration to amplify the horrid murder of our Late Sovereign, beyond that of Saul, may be taken from the Fact it self: Which although (in the case of Saul) it hath already been charged with,

1. *Blindness.* 2. *Willfulness.* And 3. *Audaciousness* enough: Yet in all these, our part of the Parallel weighs much heavier than that. The Amalekites Fact was a *Smoking Business*, acted in a *Corner*, so that it had not been known, but by his own Relation. But this was a *Publick Tragedy*, in all the Acts of it, wherein the *Conspirators*, made all the world *Spectators* of their audacious *Effrontery*: Here was a *Pageantry of Publick Justice*, an *High Court* solemnly convened, a *Bench*, and a *Bar*, a *President*, and a *Prisoner*, an *Indictment*, and a *Prosecution*, and a *Sentence*, and all these, in the most publick place of Judicature in the three Nations. And after this, a *Blondy Execution*, not in a *Corner*, but in the *open Street*, in the *Face of the Sun*, as if they meant (with a kind of defiance to God himself) to call him in, as a publick Spectator, to behold how insolently they trampled upon his *Authority*, in his undoubted *Viceregem*: A *Tragedy*, which in all the *Acts* and *Scenes* of it, I am confident all the *Histories* in the world cannot match. Many *Kings* have died by the *Sword*, by the *Dagger* and the *Pistol*; many by *poisonous Drugs*, and other such Instruments

of private and clandestine ambition, or revenge: But never any till this black day, by the Executioners Axe, upon a publick Scaffold, in the Front of His own Royal Palace, &c. Nay more, if a Survey were made of all the Utopian Inventions of Poetical Fancy, no Stage ever had a Tragedy like this acted upon it. No Fabulous or feigned History ever adventured a Relation like it to the eyes of the world: And indeed sober Readers would have disgusted them, if they had, upon the very account of the monstrousness of it, and taxed the Author with an unpardonable Errour, for transgressing the ordinary Rule of Fiction, the subject matter whereof, must be such as seems to carry an appearance of Truth, and though it be not verum, yet it is verisimile. So that here was a confluence of all that misful projected Cruelty and Insolence could contribute to the aggravation of a Villany.

*Ficta voluptas
causa sint
proxima veris.
Nec quodcumque
volet, postea
sibi fabula cre-
di. Hor. Art.
Poet.*

4. To shew the Parallel yet more unparallel, in the case of our late Sovereign, his Murderers had none of all those Pleas to excuse their wickedness, which before have been urged on the behalf of the Amalekites. For,

1. They made it too publick to render it any way disputable, whether they were the Persons who did the Fact or no. And after they had done it, they gloried in it as an act of Transcendent Justice, and took pride to be poimed at for it. *None of the Amalekites Pleas, pleadable for this.*

2. So far was our murdered Sovereign from being (as Saul was) a Tyrant and a Murderer; that none ever lost Life or Limb by his Authority, but in a course of Justice, or by the chance of war. And if he were an Enemy to any true Davids (which some object to him as a Crime) it was his Infelicity not to understand them to be such, which occasioned it; and I am confident, if any such there be, who had cause to complain of hard usage from him, they are so far Davids still, that they will give the Murderers as little thanks for rescuing them from their Sufferings, in such a way, as he did this Amalekite.

3. So far was he from being weary of his Life, and desiring the courtesie of a dispatch out of it, that he strongly and unanswerably asserted to the faces of his Murderers his Right both to his Life and Crown.

4. So far was he from an inevitable necessity of dying at that time, either by natural Infirmary, or accidental Stroke of Providence, that he was in the very Prime of his Age, Health and Strength.

The loyal Subjects Indignation,

5. So far was he from being pursued by any Enemies but themselves, that he had but newly concluded a reconciling Treaty with those who had fought against him, whose Arms and Hearts were with a wonderful alacrity open to receive him, and expiate all former unkindnesses with all possible Demonstrations of Affectionate Loyalty.

6. So far were his Murderers from lighting occasionally upon a temptation to this Fact, and being surprized by the unexpectedness thereof, that it was the issue of a Conspiracy of divers years before.

7. So far were they from being clear of the design of enriching themselves with the Royal Spoiles, that (like Ahab) they had no sooner killed, but they presently fell to taking possession of all the Royal Lands and Revenues, and distributed them amongst themselves: And whereas this Amalekite, after he had done the Fact, he took the Crown and Bracels of Saul, &c. returned them to his Lawful Successor; these barbarous Murderers to make good their unjust possession) exclude his undoubted Heir and Successor, for many years, from his unquestionable Rights, and put God himself to the expense of a Miracle at last to restore him.

8. And Lastly, So far were they from bewailing the Fact, when they had done it, that (as I before told you) they triumphed in it, as the most Righteous, Heroick and Meritorious Act, that ever was done by men. And some of them expressed an ambition to perpetuate the memory of their Names by no other Epitaph; yea, when Gods Justice and mans overtook them, and brought them to deserved Execution, took the Confidence to challenge a Crown of Martyrdom in the Kingdom of Glory, and prefer the Cause wherein they suffered, before those of the chiefest of Martyrs; and abused themselves with a Rodomantick periwasion, that it were a piece of ambition, becoming the most eminent of that noble Society, to desire an Exchange of condition with them. The saddest Instances of the efficacy of delusion that ever the world saw from its first Creation to that very day.

The Conclusion in a serious application of the other two parts of the Text, expressing a desire that in these the Parallel may hold between David and us: Or rather that we may exceed him (as there is great cause)

And thus have I done with my Parallel so far as it concerns the Circumstances of the two Facts, the one of the Text, and the other of the Time; wherein there is little to be seen, but Concordia discors, an agreement in nothing but this, that there is scarce any Circumstance wherein they agree. I have only this to add, that I hope to find a better Harmony in the other Part of it, which comes now

to.

to hand; [the *Parallel of Davids carriage* upon the one, and the *Temper of all Religious Hearts* among us, and the Generations that are to come, in reference to the other] containing the *due sense* which as he had, so we ought to have, of so detestable and picaresque a Fact, and dismal a Providence: Which *sense* (according to the forementioned distribution) ought to express it self in three things.

1. *Grief*; of which David had far less cause than we, upon a fourfold account.

In our Grief,
For
the Loss receiv-
ed.

1. Of the *Loss received*: Which (in Davids case) was inconsiderable, if compared with ours, He indeed lost a *Soveraign*, valiant enough to fight the *Lords Battels*, and one, who by his conduct, had divers times saved *Israel*; but one who was now in his *Wane* and *Declination*; so that (whatsoever David in modesty thought of him) the *Loss of Saul* was *Israels gain*, by making room for a better Successor, himself.

But our loss was like the dropping of a skilful Pilot overboard, when the Ship under his conduct, was in the very Mouth of the haven, and big with expectation of landing its precious Merchandise, but (by that sad accident) cast into the hands of *raue*, and *rash* and *mutinous Seamen*; whose indiscretions and animosities have cast us back again into a tempestuous Sea, where we have for many years been tossed up and down, with unspeakable hazard, till at last God by a Miraculous Providence, brought to our help, a *Phoenix* raised out of his *Ashes*, the true Heir, both of his *Kingdoms* and *Virtues*, who hath once more brought us within sight of Land, and we hope will (if our sins obstruct not his endeavours) set us safe on shore. To prevent which mischief, we ought to grieve (as we have cause) more then David.

2. Upon account of *those sins* (in general) which provoked God to take him from us. David knew, that God had cast off formerly, and now cast off Saul for his own sins, not his. But we have cause enough to charge this loss upon our own provoking sins, which (at that time, and since) have been too notoriously apparent to God and the world: Such as, *Contempt of Gods Word and Sacraments*, *Reproaching and persecuting his Faithful Messengers*, *Wanton affelling of new Notions and Innovations*, and *condemning old Truths*, and the primitive simplicity of the Gospel, *Uncharitable schismes and separations in the Church*, and *ambitious self-seeking*, and (in order

and our sins (in general) provoking God to inflict it.
(V Whereof some are expressed; (the peoples).)

order thereunto, *fomenting Factions in the State, Sacrilegious robbing of God, and barbarous spoiling and despoiling one another with a rage reaching up to Heaven, Notorious Debauchery and Profaness in all sorts and degrees of men, which shew'd neither Gods Name, nor his Sabbaths, nor his Creatures, nor the Profession of Religion in the life and power of it, toleration of Popery, and other dangerous Errors and Heresies, and (to make our Ephah of sins yet fuller) a spirit of Rebellion spread over the whole Nation, against just and lawful Authority; and many more might be added to this Black Roll, but that I hasten to an end.*

And was it not time (think you) for God to be *avenged of such a Nation as this was?* and I would to God (I could with a clear Conscience) stop at *was*: But I fear, it may, with too much evidence of Truth be added, and *yet is*. And who could tax that Justice, which by his Providence suffered us to *cut* through that *Bank* with our own hands, which (under himself) kept out that *Deluge of Miseries*, which our sins deserved, and *fell down* that *Royal Tree*, in the *Branches* whereof our several *Nests* were *built*. And oh! Let us fear, lest by the continued guilt of the *same sins*, we procure a *Decree of the Watchers*, to cut down those *Royal Trees*, that have grown up in his stead (and he hath begun with them already, for sad losse) and not leave so much as *ashump* in the earth, out of which a *Rod* may grow, to make a *Scepter* of.

The Thronets
waved,

But (possibly) here I may be interrupted with a *Question*: whether *all the Faults which provoked God to inflict such a severe stroke, were in the People, and the Throne altogether guiltless.*

and why.)

To which I answer, that I doubt not but *personal sins*, and acts of *mis-government*, towards the people under their charge, are incident to *Kings* as well as to *persons of a lower degree*. And I dare not persuade my self or others, that our *late Sovereign* was so much *Saint*, as to be *altogether free*; nay he was so much *Saint*, as (more then once) to *confesse* *misarranges in his Government*. But I must tell the enquirers withall, that I do not believe him one half so criminal; as popular prejudice, blown up by the breath of factious spirits endeavoured to make him. And for what was *really amisse in him*, I have this to plead, That *Kings* are persons under such *Temptations*, as *Vulgar capacities* do not understand: that because of their *wisdom* and *publicque influence*, the *Tempter* thrusts *snare* at them then ordinary, *that they may fall*: that God doth

both sometimes lead them into Temptation, because his wrath is kindled against their people; that (in Scripture) those who have the commendation of Gods Spirit for the best of Kings, (*David and Solomon*) have yet more and fouler spots upon their Names, than *K. Charles*; and that were the busy enquirers into, and censurers of Kings faults a while in their places, I fear they would commit more and greater. Let the Questionist if he can, answer me this Question, *Si fueras in Loo, qualis eris?*

In a word, Were I sufficiently instructed in the Cabinet affairs of our late Sovereign, and had I a revelation withall afforded me, to discover the secret springs of those Counsels upon which he acted, and his own mind in acting; and were I enabled thereby to conclude him really guilty of whatever, and more, than what malice and prejudice have ever charged him withall, I should think myself obliged to do (what is the duty of every good man) that right to his memory, as to bury his errors and miscarriages in his grave, and proclaim his virtues as Royal examples to all Posterity. The Lord discover to his Sacred Majesty, who now fills his Seat, (to whom this enquiry is more proper than to us) both these and those, that he may render his virtues immortal by immutation, and wash off the guilt of his failings, (if any such adhere to the Throne) by deprecating the imputation of them, and avoiding the incursion of the like. And let us help him herein in our prayers, and encourage him by our loyal affections and obedience; and amend our own lives the rather, upon the account of the love we bear to his prosperity; to whom our sins (whatever they are) are Treasons, though our selves be never so loyal and affectionate Subjects.

2. Let us grieve (and herein I am sure *David* had not so much cause by *Saints* own frequent confession) for that special guilt which the Nation is general, and particular persons in great multitudes every where have contracted, by any way furthering unprecedently, or abetting consequently, so horrid and execrable a murder.

Let no Person or Party think to shift off this duty, as that which only concerns such and such, who had the unhappiness to appear in a contrary engagement to him in the field, (divers of whom, as hath appeared by many real evidences, have vindicated their integrity (with *David*) in this particular, notwithstanding the foul reflections of some irreconcilable Zealots, (whose heat no Acts of Indulgency or Oblivion can flake) I say, let no man shift off this guilt:

And for any sort of partnership in this particular horrid fact,

(which few are clear of.)

The loyal Subjects Indignation,

guilt upon others, because he was engaged in a *Party or Interest* less obnoxious to such an imputation: For, by that time we all have seriously examined our selves upon these weighty *Interrogatories*, Whether we have not (*anecedently* to this sad accident) had an hand in the heightning *animosities*, and fomenting the *differences*, whether Religious or Civil, and sowing *prejudices* and *jealousies*, which gave occasion to the *publick contests*? Nay, whether we did *what we might*, and to the utmost of our power, to keep them from arriving at such an height? And whether (*during* the civil broyls) we (*setting aside* the consideration of the Quarrel, which (I hope) we shall all strive to remember no more) have not been guilty of such unjust *oppressions*, and *exactions*, and *violences*, as exasperated *opposite parties* into an irreconcilable enmity? Nay further, whether we *interposed* (*at the time* wherein that bloody Tragedy was acting) in all such waies as were within the compass of our capacity to hinder it? Some did indeed, but how few to the body of the Nation? Nay rather, whether we did not, by *Petition*, or other encouragement, *promote* it? Or if not, yet, whether we *mourned* for it, and *deprecated* the guilt of it? Whether we *declared* (*after* the sad blow given) our just *abomination* and *detestation* of the fact in our several places and capacities? Some indeed did (and let it be remembered to their everlasting honour that they did so,) but how many were there (who should have been more bold in such a cause) in whose mouths there were no *re-proofs*? And lastly, Whether (*ex post facto*) we have not *justified* the fact, by *flattering Addresses* to the pretended Authority, of those who *usurped* the *Royal Power* successively? Whether we have not *laid our hands unjustly* upon any of the *Royal Revenues*? Whether we have not *contributed Money or Arms* towards the *opposition* of his *present Majesties* restitution? And (in a word) Whether we swallowed not the execrable *Engagement* against *Kingship* it self, which very few (*except only some nice Consciences* here and there, who valued their *Allegiance* at an higher rate) refused to subscribe? I say, by that time every ones Conscience hath seriously answered these *Interrogatories*, and many such more as may be made, it will be well if *Centesimus quisque* one of an hundred can before God and his own serious Conscience, say, *I am altogether innocent of this blood*. O, that our *Repentance* might be as general as our *Guilt*; and that we might not by casting it in each others Faces, think to clear ourselves,

selves from that which must be discharged and blotted out only upon our particular and personal repentance.

4. And Lastly, Let us (as common Christianity binds us) grieve for those who will not be perswaded to grieve for themselves, but are so obdurate in the error of their seduced Consciences, that they secretly applaud themselves, and canonize others for Saints of an eminent Rank, upon the bare account of their influence upon, and engagement in this abominable Act. Alas! Poor seduced Creatures! Can you imagine that God will own it as a piece of merit in you or any other, that you affront, and condemn, and injure (with the utmost indignity) his own deputed Authority? Would any of you, *si parvis componere magna liceret*, account your selves obliged by him who with the utmost strength he can make, attempts the molestation or dispossession of a Tenant that holds in your right? Did you ever read in any Scriptural or Church-Records, that any Person was ever accounted a Martyr, that suffered for conspiring, and much less, for murdering his Lawful Sovereign; excepting only the Black Kalendar of the Apostate Church of Rome? And shall those who bid the greatest defiance to Popery in their Profession, forsake all the Churches of Christ in all Ages of the world, to adhere to them, in a matter of such concernment, and justify Beckers and Garnets, and other such Traitor-Saints, by vindicating them, in comparison to whom, they were Saints indeed? O, let us pray for the Conviction of these deluded Souls, and if our Prayers prevail not, let us weep for them in secret; though they laugh never so much at our tenderness towards them, as a foolish pity; and prophanely bless themselves from our Charity, which their erroneous Consciences judge mistaken.

2. Wonder and Astonishment, at the monstrous Confidence which animated those Wretches who presumed to sit in Judgment, and pass Sentence of Condemnation upon their Lawful Sovereign, and (after 12 years time vouchsafed them by God to repent in, before his Vengeance found them out) would fain perswade God by their Prayers, and the World by their Speeches, that they dyed with Consciences so innocent, in this matter, as to need no repentance. I profess solemnly, I was never more surprized with admiration, at any thing in my life, than I was upon the accidental view of that dangerous insinuating piece (the poyson whereof (I fear) hath infected hundreds of ignorant and well-meaning people) *The Relati-*

And (lastly) for those who are hardened in the guilt of this sin.

and in our wonder at the amazing confidence of these late executed Traitors.

The loyal Subjects Allegation, &c.

on of the last Passages of the late despicable Traytors; and that, to see how Omnipotent a Deceiver (if I may so speak) that Spirit of Error and Delusion is, that can so artificially extract Heaven out of Hell, and not only Charm Conscience (even upon the very Brink of Eternity) into a sleep, but impose upon it with such a Cheat as to absolve and justify in so high a manner those Offenders, and so many of them (except we will suppose a Confederacy in such an uniform resolution, of purpose deluged for the animating the relics of a broken Party, which I can hardly be so uncharitable as to believe) whom both the Law of God, and the Dictates of Nature in the Principles of all Nations under Heaven condemn. For my part, I dare not judge their eternal fate. But this I must say (for the prevention of any evil Influence from their examples) that I would not for 10000 worlds run their hazard, for all their Confidencies and Comforts. And if I had an Infallible Revelation, to tell me they were gone to Heaven, I should think myself bound, to keep it in my own Bosom, lest the publishing of it should occasion the leading Millions to Hell; and pray God, to lead me to Heaven in a more ordinary and unsuspected Road, and keep me from being carried up to a Pinnacle of Spiritual Pride upon the Devils shoulders in the shape of an Angel of Light.

and abominati-
on of the Prin-
ciples that lead
to such horrid
Crimes.
Particularly
the Fifth Mo-
narchists.

3. Abomination of those principles which tend to the like Practises. Of all which (and these late years have been as fruitfull in Designs of this tendency, as Africa is of Monsters) there are none more desperate, than those of the Expectants of a Fifth Monarchy to be begun in the personal appearance of Christ upon earth to crush all Earthly Powers, and reign visibly with his Saints a thousand years before the general Resurrection, which fancy with abundance more strange conceits of like nature, some consider new Doctors among them, pretend to gather from the Prophecies of Daniel, and the Apocalypst so evidently, that they have often presumed to set and fix the time of his appearance. What a Spirit these Principles can conjure up, there needs no further evidence than the desperate attempt made so lately, by an inconsiderable number of the men of that persuasion; while they are seduced to believe, that to make way for this Kingdom of Christ, the horridest murders are meritorious, and the justest executions for those murders, Martyrdom. But alas! poor men, would Christ come to set up a Temporal Kingdom, he hath wares enough of his own to effect it: So that

Non tali Auxilio, nec Defensoribus istis,

Christus eget.

Christ hath no more need of their Arms to further it, then we have of their Principles, to defend us. Good Lord deliver us. AMEN.

FINIS.



